



Western Digital Corp

# 2024 CDP Corporate Questionnaire 2024

Word version

**Important: this export excludes unanswered questions**

This document is an export of your organization's CDP questionnaire response. It contains all data points for questions that are answered or in progress. There may be questions or data points that you have been requested to provide, which are missing from this document because they are currently unanswered. Please note that it is your responsibility to verify that your questionnaire response is complete prior to submission. CDP will not be liable for any failure to do so.

[Terms of disclosure for corporate questionnaire 2024 - CDP](#)

# Contents

<b>C1. Introduction .....</b>	<b>8</b>
(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?.....	8
(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response. ....	8
(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.....	8
(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years. ....	9
(1.4.1) What is your organization's annual revenue for the reporting period?.....	9
(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.....	9
(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)? .....	10
(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.....	12
(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?.....	12
(1.8.1) Please provide all available geolocation data for your facilities.....	12
(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?.....	16
(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of? .....	17
<b>C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities .....</b>	<b>18</b>
(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities? .....	18
(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts? .....	19
(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?.....	19
(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities. ....	20
(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?.....	23
(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain? .....	23
(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization? .....	24
(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health? .....	26
<b>C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities .....</b>	<b>28</b>
(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future? .....	28

(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future. ....	29
(3.1.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics from the reporting year that are vulnerable to the substantive effects of environmental risks. ....	49
(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations? .....	51
(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?.....	51
(3.5.1) Select the carbon pricing regulation(s) which impact your operations. ....	51
(3.5.2) Provide details of each Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) your organization is regulated by. ....	51
(3.5.3) Complete the following table for each of the tax systems you are regulated by. ....	54
(3.5.4) What is your strategy for complying with the systems you are regulated by or anticipate being regulated by? .....	54
(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?.....	55
(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future. ....	55
(3.6.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics in the reporting year that are aligned with the substantive effects of environmental opportunities.....	65

#### **C4. Governance.....67**

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body? .....	67
(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization? .....	68
(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues. ....	68
(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues? .....	71
(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?.....	72
(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).....	72
(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets? .....	75
(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals). ....	75
(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?.....	76
(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies. ....	77
(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives? .....	79
(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment? .....	79

(4.11.2) Provide details of your indirect engagement on policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment through trade associations or other intermediary organizations or individuals in the reporting year. ....	80
(4.12) Have you published information about your organization’s response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response? .....	86
(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization’s response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication. ....	86

## **C5. Business strategy .....88**

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes? .....	88
(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization’s scenario analysis. ....	89
(5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization’s scenario analysis.....	96
(5.2) Does your organization’s strategy include a climate transition plan?.....	97
(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?.....	98
(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.....	99
(5.4) In your organization’s financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization’s climate transition? .....	100
(5.9) What is the trend in your organization’s water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year? .....	100
(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?.....	101
(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?.....	101
(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?.....	102
(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?.....	103
(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization’s purchasing process?.....	104
(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization’s purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place. ....	105
(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization’s supplier engagement on environmental issues. ....	107
(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain. ....	108
(5.12) Indicate any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives you could collaborate on with specific CDP Supply Chain members. ....	111
(5.13) Has your organization already implemented any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement? .....	112

## **C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach .....114**

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.....	114
--	-----

## **C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change .....115**

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP? .....	115
(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?.....	115
(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year? .....	115
(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions. ....	116
(7.3) Describe your organization’s approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.....	116
(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure? .....	116
(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.....	116
(7.6) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e? .....	124
(7.7) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e? .....	125
(7.8) Account for your organization’s gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions. ....	125
(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.....	136
(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.....	136
(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements. ....	137
(7.9.3) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 3 emissions and attach the relevant statements. ....	140
(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year? .....	141
(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.....	141
(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure? .....	147
(7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?.....	148
(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?.....	148
(7.15.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used global warming potential (GWP).....	148
(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area. ....	151
(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide. ....	154
(7.17.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division.....	154
(7.17.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business activity.....	154
(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide. ....	155
(7.20.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division.....	155

(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.....	155
(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?.....	156
(7.26) Allocate your emissions to your customers listed below according to the goods or services you have sold them in this reporting period. ....	157
(7.27) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges? .....	234
(7.28) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future? .....	234
(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy? .....	235
(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken. ....	235
(7.30.1) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.....	236
(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel.....	238
(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type. ....	239
(7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year. ....	242
(7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in 7.7.....	245
(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year. ....	251
(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.....	256
(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business. ....	257
(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?.....	259
(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.....	259
(7.53.2) Provide details of your emissions intensity targets and progress made against those targets. ....	267
(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year? .....	271
(7.54.1) Provide details of your targets to increase or maintain low-carbon energy consumption or production. ....	271
(7.54.2) Provide details of any other climate-related targets, including methane reduction targets.....	273
(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases. ....	276
(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.....	276
(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below. ....	276
(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities? .....	284
(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization's goods or services? .....	288
(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products? .....	289

(7.74.1) Provide details of your products and/or services that you classify as low-carbon products. ....	289
(7.79) Has your organization canceled any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?.....	291
<b>C9. Environmental performance - Water security .....</b>	<b>292</b>
(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?.....	292
(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored? .....	292
(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change? .....	297
(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change. ....	300
(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.....	302
(9.2.8) Provide total water discharge data by destination. ....	305
(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities? .....	307
(9.3.1) For each facility referenced in 9.3, provide coordinates, water accounting data, and a comparison with the previous reporting year. ....	308
(9.3.2) For the facilities in your direct operations referenced in 9.3.1, what proportion of water accounting data has been third party verified?.....	330
(9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member? .....	333
(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency. ....	333
(9.12) Provide any available water intensity values for your organization's products or services. ....	334
(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority? .....	335
(9.13.1) What percentage of your company's revenue is associated with products containing substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?.....	335
(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?.....	337
(9.15) Do you have any water-related targets? .....	337
(9.15.1) Indicate whether you have targets relating to water pollution, water withdrawals, WASH, or other water-related categories. ....	338
(9.15.2) Provide details of your water-related targets and the progress made.....	338
<b>C10. Environmental performance - Plastics.....</b>	<b>341</b>
(10.1) Do you have plastics-related targets, and if so what type?.....	341
(10.2) Indicate whether your organization engages in the following activities. ....	341
<b>C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity .....</b>	<b>345</b>
(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments? .....	345

(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities? .....	345
(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year?.....	345

### **C13. Further information & sign off.....347**

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party? .....	347
(13.1.1) Which data points within your CDP response are verified and/or assured by a third party, and which standards were used? .....	347
(13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored. ....	348
(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response. ....	349
(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website. ....	349



## C1. Introduction

### (1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?

Select from:

☒ English

### (1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

Select from:

☒ USD

### (1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

#### (1.3.2) Organization type

Select from:

☒ Publicly traded organization

#### (1.3.3) Description of organization

*Western Digital is on a mission to unlock the potential of data by harnessing the possibility to use it. With both Flash and HDD franchises, underpinned by advancements in memory technologies, we create breakthrough innovations inspired by the convergence of human potential and digital transformation that enable the world to actualize its aspirations. Our broad portfolio provides powerful data storage solutions for everyone, from the smallest intelligent devices to the largest public clouds. Core to our values, we recognize the urgency to combat climate change and have committed to ambitious carbon reduction goals approved by the Science Based Targets initiative. Learn more about Western Digital and the Western Digital, SanDisk and WD brands at [www.westerndigital.com](http://www.westerndigital.com). We believe responsible and sustainable business practices support our long-term success. As a company, we are deeply committed to protecting and supporting our people, our environment, and our communities. That commitment is reflected through sustainability-focused initiatives as well as day-to-day activities, including our adoption of sustainability-focused policies and procedures, our publicly-recognized focus on fostering an inclusive workplace, our constant drive toward more efficient use of materials and energy, our provision of measures to ensure employee health and safety, our careful and active management of our supply chain, our community-focused volunteerism programs and philanthropic initiatives, and our impactful, globally-integrated ethics and compliance program. • We seek to protect the human rights and civil liberties of our employees through policies, procedures, and programs that avoid risks of compulsory and child labor, both within our company and throughout our supply chain. • We foster a workplace of dignity, respect, diversity, and inclusion through our recruiting and advancement practices, internal communications, and employee resource groups. • We educate our employees annually on relevant ethics and compliance topics, publish accessible guidance on ethical issues and related company resources in our Global Code of Conduct, and encourage reporting of ethical concerns through any of several global and local*

reporting channels. • We support local communities throughout the world, focusing on hunger relief, environmental quality, STEM (science, technology, engineering, and math) education, especially for underrepresented and underprivileged youth, and promotion of equality. • We utilize a robust integrated management system, with associated policies and procedures, to evaluate and manage occupational health and safety risks, environmental compliance, and chemical and hazardous substance risks. • We innovate to reduce the energy used by our products, the energy used to manufacture them, and the amount of new materials required to manufacture them. Financial, sustainability, and ESG investor information is available at investor.wdc.com and <https://www.westerndigital.com/company/corporate-responsibility>.  
[Fixed row]

**(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.**

	End date of reporting year	Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period	Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years
	06/30/2023	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

**(1.4.1) What is your organization’s annual revenue for the reporting period?**

12300000000

**(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.**

	Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?
	Select from:

	Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

**(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?**

**ISIN code - bond**

**(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?**

Select from:

☒ No

**ISIN code - equity**

**(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier**

US9581021055

**CUSIP number**

**(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?**

Select from:

☒ No

## Ticker symbol

### (1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

### (1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

WDC

## SEDOL code

### (1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

## LEI number

### (1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

## D-U-N-S number

### (1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

## Other unique identifier

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

- ☒ No
- [Add row]

(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.

Select all that apply

- ☒ China
- ☒ India
- ☒ Japan
- ☒ Israel
- ☒ Malaysia
- ☒ Thailand
- ☒ Philippines
- ☒ United States of America

(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?

	Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?	Comment
	<div>Select from:</div> <div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, for some facilities</div>	We are not providing data for every sales and support location, only for significant manufacturing locations.

[Fixed row]

(1.8.1) Please provide all available geolocation data for your facilities.

Row 1

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Bang Pa In*

**(1.8.1.2) Latitude**

*14.27932*

**(1.8.1.3) Longitude**

*100.642844*

**(1.8.1.4) Comment**

*no comment*

**Row 2**

**(1.8.1.1) Identifier**

*Banglore*

**(1.8.1.2) Latitude**

*12.937211*

**(1.8.1.3) Longitude**

*77.691426*

**(1.8.1.4) Comment**

*no comment*

**Row 3**

**(1.8.1.1) Identifier**

*Prachinburi*

**(1.8.1.2) Latitude**

*14.08333*

**(1.8.1.3) Longitude**

*101.66667*

**(1.8.1.4) Comment**

*no comment*

**Row 4**

**(1.8.1.1) Identifier**

*Penang – SSD*

**(1.8.1.2) Latitude**

*5.284605*

**(1.8.1.3) Longitude**

*100.472015*

**(1.8.1.4) Comment**

*no comment*

**Row 5**

**(1.8.1.1) Identifier**

Shanghai

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.22

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.41583

(1.8.1.4) Comment

no comment

Row 6

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fremont

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.512053

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-121.940061

(1.8.1.4) Comment

no comment

Row 7

(1.8.1.1) Identifier



#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.339165

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

139.49014

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*no comment*

*[Add row]*

### (1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?

#### (1.24.1) Value chain mapped

*Select from:*

☒ Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping our value chain

#### (1.24.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

*Select all that apply*

☒ Upstream value chain

☒ Downstream value chain

#### (1.24.3) Highest supplier tier mapped

*Select from:*

☒ Tier 3 suppliers

#### (1.24.4) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped

Select from:

☒ Tier 4+ suppliers

## (1.24.7) Description of mapping process and coverage

*Western Digital maps its value chain with a third-party tool. Due to complexity of the process and supply chain, Western Digital has mapped to tier 3 globally.*  
[Fixed row]

### (1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of?

#### (1.24.1.1) Plastics mapping

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping plastics in our value chain

#### (1.24.1.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

Select all that apply

☒ Upstream value chain

☒ End-of-life management

#### (1.24.1.4) End-of-life management pathways mapped

Select all that apply

☒ Recycling

☒ Landfill

[Fixed row]

## **C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities**

**(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?**

### **Short-term**

**(2.1.1) From (years)**

0

**(2.1.3) To (years)**

1

**(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning**

*Aligned with financial planning*

### **Medium-term**

**(2.1.1) From (years)**

1

**(2.1.3) To (years)**

3

**(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning**

*Aligned with financial planning*

### **Long-term**

### (2.1.1) From (years)

3

### (2.1.2) Is your long-term time horizon open ended?

Select from:

☒ No

### (2.1.3) To (years)

5

### (2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

Aligned with financial and strategic planning

[Fixed row]

## (2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?

	Process in place	Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both dependencies and impacts

[Fixed row]

## (2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?

	Process in place	Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process	Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process?
	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both risks and opportunities	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

## (2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.

### Row 1

#### (2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Climate change
- ☒ Water
- ☒ Plastics

#### (2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Dependencies
- ☒ Impacts

#### (2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Direct operations

- ☒ Upstream value chain
- ☒ Downstream value chain
- ☒ End of life management

#### (2.2.2.4) Coverage

*Select from:*

- ☒ Partial

#### (2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Tier 1 suppliers

#### (2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

*Select from:*

- ☒ Qualitative and quantitative

#### (2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

*Select from:*

- ☒ More than once a year

#### (2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

#### (2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Not location specific

## (2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

### Commercially/publicly available tools

- ☒ RBA Country Risk Assessment Tool
- ☒ WRI Aqueduct

### Enterprise Risk Management

- ☒ Enterprise Risk Management
- ☒ Internal company methods
- ☒ Risk models

### International methodologies and standards

- ☒ Alliance for Water Stewardship Standard
- ☒ ISO 14001 Environmental Management Standard
- ☒ ISO 14046 Environmental Management – Water Footprint
- ☒ Life Cycle Assessment

### Other

- ☒ Scenario analysis
- ☒ Desk-based research
- ☒ External consultants
- ☒ Materiality assessment
- ☒ Internal company methods
- ☒ Partner and stakeholder consultation/analysis

## (2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ NGOs
- ☒ Customers
- ☒ Employees
- ☒ Regulators
- ☒ Local communities
- ☒ Water utilities at a local level

☒ Investors

☒ Suppliers

#### (2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (2.2.2.16) Further details of process

*Western Digital assesses climate-related risks in several ways, including: (1) Climate scenario analysis; (2) Business forecasts in conjunction with strategic planning; (3) Business continuity planning by various business units within the company, including business impact analyses and risk assessments; (4) Energy, water and other resource evaluations; (5) Physical vulnerability assessments. Climate-related risks and opportunities are evaluated in the less than 1 year to 5 year time frame as part of this process, and are monitored by Internal Audit, Global Operations and other potentially impacted business units. We respond to any risks identified by evaluating their impacts, reviewing possible mitigation strategies, and selecting the best approach based on the totality of circumstances.*

[Add row]

### (2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?

#### (2.2.7.1) Interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (2.2.7.2) Description of how interconnections are assessed

*Through materiality assessment, scenario analysis, and support from external consultants. The assessment of Western Digital's impact on society and the environment was informed by GRI's Stakeholder Inclusiveness and Materiality Principles. The assessment of the potential impacts that an issue may have on our business was informed by the financial materiality definition referenced by the SASB Standards.*

[Fixed row]

### (2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?



	Identification of priority locations	Primary reason for not identifying priority locations	Explain why you do not identify priority locations
	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but we plan to within the next two years	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not an immediate strategic priority	<i>Impacts on biodiversity and nature were not highlighted as material through past materiality assessments</i>

[Fixed row]

## (2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?

### Risks

#### (2.4.1) Type of definition

*Select all that apply*

☒ Qualitative

☒ Quantitative

#### (2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

*Select from:*

☒ Other, please specify :Western Digital assesses climate-related risks in several ways, including: (1) Climate scenario analysis; (2) Business forecasts in conjunction with strategic planning; (3) Business continuity planning by various business units within the company, in

#### (2.4.3) Change to indicator

*Select from:*

☒ % decrease

#### (2.4.4) % change to indicator

Select from:

☒ 1-10

## (2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

- ☒ Frequency of effect occurring
- ☒ Time horizon over which the effect occurs
- ☒ Likelihood of effect occurring

## (2.4.7) Application of definition

*Western Digital assesses climate-related risks in several ways, including: (1) Climate scenario analysis; (2) Business forecasts in conjunction with strategic planning; (3) Business continuity planning by various business units within the company, including business impact analyses and risk assessments; (4) Energy, water and other resource evaluations; (5) Physical vulnerability assessments. Climate-related risks and opportunities are evaluated in the less than 1 year to 5 year time frame as part of this process, and are monitored by Internal Audit, Global Operations and other potentially impacted business units. We respond to any risks identified by evaluating their impacts, reviewing possible mitigation strategies, and selecting the best approach based on the totality of circumstances.*

## Opportunities

### (2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

- ☒ Qualitative
- ☒ Quantitative

### (2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

- ☒ Other, please specify :Western Digital assesses climate-related risks in several ways, including: (1) Climate scenario analysis; (2) Business forecasts in conjunction with strategic planning; (3) Business continuity planning by various business units within the company, in

### (2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

☒ % increase

#### (2.4.4) % change to indicator

Select from:

☒ 1-10

#### (2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

☒ Frequency of effect occurring

☒ Time horizon over which the effect occurs

☒ Likelihood of effect occurring

#### (2.4.7) Application of definition

*Western Digital assesses climate-related risks in several ways, including: (1) Climate scenario analysis; (2) Business forecasts in conjunction with strategic planning; (3) Business continuity planning by various business units within the company, including business impact analyses and risk assessments; (4) Energy, water and other resource evaluations; (5) Physical vulnerability assessments. Climate-related risks and opportunities are evaluated in the less than 1 year to 5 year time frame as part of this process, and are monitored by Internal Audit, Global Operations and other potentially impacted business units. We respond to any risks identified by evaluating their impacts, reviewing possible mitigation strategies, and selecting the best approach based on the totality of circumstances.*

[Add row]

**(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?**

#### (2.5.1) Identification and classification of potential water pollutants

Select from:

☒ No, we do not identify and classify our potential water pollutants

#### (2.5.3) Please explain

*Western Digital's Energy Resource Management (ERM) Program and Business Continuity Management System (BCMS) program addresses water risks. As part of our ERM Program, operations report monthly water supply and usage data. These complement our BCMS program, ensuring risks are assessed, managed and monitored. Western Digital also conducts strategic vulnerability assessments approximately every 10 yrs. of key facilities to evaluate likelihood of a "Black Swan" event.*

*[Fixed row]*

## C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

**(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?**

### Climate change

#### (3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

*Select from:*

☒ Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

### Water

#### (3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

*Select from:*

☒ No

#### (3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

*Select from:*

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

#### (3.1.3) Please explain

*Water risks are assessed as part of other company-wide risk assessment system*

### Plastics

#### (3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☒ No

### (3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

### (3.1.3) Please explain

*Western digital's most recent materiality assessment did not identify plastics as having a substantial financial, environmental, or societal impacts.*  
[Fixed row]

**(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.**

#### Climate change

##### (3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☒ Risk1

##### (3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

#### Policy

☒ Carbon pricing mechanisms

##### (3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

### (3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- ☒ China
- ☒ Japan
- ☒ Malaysia

### (3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

*Certain facilities under Western Digital's global manufacturing operations are subject to carbon taxes and emissions trading schemes (ETS), particularly the Japan carbon tax, Shanghai pilot ETS, and Shenzhen pilot ETS. While Western Digital has developed a compliance approach, which focuses on leveraging the company's integrated management system to track annual fuel and energy consumption and complete 3rd party verification, required participation in each ETS poses a risk of increased costs for compliance if Western Digital's facility-specific emissions exceed each year's applicable emissions quota. Monitoring and compliance costs are likely to grow as current and emerging regulations related to carbon taxes and ETS's advance. Western Digital is at risk for higher compliance costs if relevant facility emissions are not reduced. For example, Western Digital's facility in Shenzhen, China is subject to the Shenzhen pilot ETS. Each year, the government releases the carbon emissions target for our facility. If Western Digital's actual annual emissions exceed the government calculated quota, Western Digital must purchase the necessary credits from the Shenzhen Carbon Emission Spot Trading System to account for the difference.*

### (3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- ☒ Fines, penalties or enforcement orders

### (3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

### (3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- ☒ Likely

#### **(3.1.1.14) Magnitude**

Select from:

☒ Low

#### **(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons**

*minor anticipated effect*

#### **(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?**

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### **(3.1.1.19) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – minimum (currency)**

*300000*

#### **(3.1.1.20) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)**

*300000*

#### **(3.1.1.21) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – minimum (currency)**

*300000*

#### **(3.1.1.22) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – maximum (currency)**

*300000*

#### **(3.1.1.23) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – minimum (currency)**

*300000*

#### **(3.1.1.24) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – maximum (currency)**



300000

#### (3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

*Western Digital estimates that the potential long-term (5 year) costs for compliance at the Shenzhen facility, which is currently subject to the Shenzhen pilot ETS requirements, could total over 300,000 over the next five years if no mitigation action is taken. The cost was estimated based on the historic annual costs incurred for the purchase of credits to adhere to each annual quota, multiplied by 5 years. The costs for compliance may increase on an annual basis in the future, so this is a basic estimate.*

#### (3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

##### Engagement

☒ Engage in multi-stakeholder initiatives

#### (3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

#### (3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

*Western Digital's response to this risk will include investment in energy efficiency at facilities, execution of the company's integrated management system to track energy and fuel consumption, and annual completion of 3rd party emissions verification. Western Digital has not yet calculated costs related to responding to the risk.*

#### (3.1.1.29) Description of response

*Western Digital's response to this risk will include investment in energy efficiency at facilities, execution of the company's integrated management system to track energy and fuel consumption, and annual completion of 3rd party emissions verification. Western Digital has not yet calculated costs related to responding to the risk.*

### Climate change

#### (3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☒ Risk2

### (3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

#### Acute physical

☒ Flooding (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, groundwater)

### (3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

*Select from:*

☒ Direct operations

### (3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

*Select all that apply*

☒ Thailand

### (3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

*Bang Pa-In factory*

### (3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

*Select from:*

☒ Decreased revenues due to reduced production capacity

### (3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

*Select all that apply*

☒ Short-term

☒ Medium-term

☒ Long-term

### (3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

*Select from:*

☒ Likely

#### (3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☒ Medium

#### (3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

*minor anticipated effect*

#### (3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

##### **Compliance, monitoring and targets**

☒ Implementation of environmental best practices in direct operations

#### (3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

#### (3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

*Direct Operations: Western Digital's response to this risk will include investment in energy efficiency at facilities, execution of the company's integrated management system to track energy and fuel consumption, and annual completion of 3rd party emissions verification. Western Digital has not yet calculated costs related to responding to the risk.*

#### (3.1.1.29) Description of response

Western Digital has not yet calculated the costs related to responding to the risk. The risk response will include continued regular risk assessments and investments to build resilience to protect manufacturing operations from those risks.

## Climate change

### (3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☒ Risk3

### (3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Acute physical

☒ Drought

### (3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

### (3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☒ China

☒ Israel

☒ Thailand

☒ United States of America

### (3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Our manufacturing sites in Fremont, Shanghai, Shenzhen, Bang Pa-In and non-manufacturing site Kfar Saba in Israel

### (3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- ☒ Decreased revenues due to reduced production capacity

#### **(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization**

Select all that apply

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

#### **(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon**

Select from:

- ☒ Likely

#### **(3.1.1.14) Magnitude**

Select from:

- ☒ Medium

#### **(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons**

*minor anticipated effect*

#### **(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?**

Select from:

- ☒ No

#### **(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk**

##### **Compliance, monitoring and targets**

- ☒ Implementation of environmental best practices in direct operations

### (3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

### (3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

*Direct Operations: Western Digital's response to this risk will include investment in energy efficiency at facilities, execution of the company's integrated management system to track energy and fuel consumption, and annual completion of 3rd party emissions verification. Western Digital has not yet calculated costs related to responding to the risk.*

### (3.1.1.29) Description of response

*Western Digital has not yet calculated the costs related to responding to the risk. The risk response will include continued regular risk assessments and investments to build resilience to protect manufacturing operations from those risks.*

## Climate change

### (3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☒ Risk4

### (3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

#### Acute physical

☒ Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)

### (3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

### (3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- ☒ China
- ☒ India
- ☒ Philippines

#### **(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk**

*Our manufacturing, sites in the Philippines, Shanghai, Shenzhen, and non-manufacturing site Bengaluru in India*

#### **(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk**

*Select from:*

- ☒ Decreased revenues due to reduced production capacity

#### **(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization**

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

#### **(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon**

*Select from:*

- ☒ Likely

#### **(3.1.1.14) Magnitude**

*Select from:*

- ☒ Medium

#### **(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons**

*minor anticipated effect*

### (3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☒ No

### (3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

#### Compliance, monitoring and targets

☒ Implementation of environmental best practices in direct operations

### (3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

### (3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

*Direct Operations: Western Digital's response to this risk will include investment in energy efficiency at facilities, execution of the company's integrated management system to track energy and fuel consumption, and annual completion of 3rd party emissions verification. Western Digital has not yet calculated costs related to responding to the risk.*

### (3.1.1.29) Description of response

*Western Digital has not yet calculated the costs related to responding to the risk. The risk response will include continued regular risk assessments and investments to build resilience to protect manufacturing operations from those risks.*

## Climate change

### (3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☒ Risk5

### (3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver



## Acute physical

☒ Cyclone, hurricane, typhoon

### (3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

### (3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☒ China

☒ Japan

☒ Philippines

### (3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

*Storms & extreme wind conditions: Our manufacturing sites in the Philippines, Shanghai, Shenzhen and non-manufacturing site Fujisawa in Japan*

### (3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☒ Decreased revenues due to reduced production capacity

### (3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☒ Short-term

☒ Medium-term

☒ Long-term

### (3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☒ Likely

#### (3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☒ Medium

#### (3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

*minor anticipated effect*

#### (3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

##### **Compliance, monitoring and targets**

☒ Implementation of environmental best practices in direct operations

#### (3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

#### (3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

*Direct Operations: Western Digital's response to this risk will include investment in energy efficiency at facilities, execution of the company's integrated management system to track energy and fuel consumption, and annual completion of 3rd party emissions verification. Western Digital has not yet calculated costs related to responding to the risk.*

#### (3.1.1.29) Description of response

Western Digital has not yet calculated the costs related to responding to the risk. The risk response will include continued regular risk assessments and investments to build resilience to protect manufacturing operations from those risks.

## Climate change

### (3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☒ Risk6

### (3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

#### Acute physical

☒ Cyclone, hurricane, typhoon

### (3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☒ Upstream value chain

### (3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☒ China

☒ Taiwan, China

☒ India

☒ Japan

☒ Thailand

☒ Philippines

### (3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

As a result of climate change, Western Digital's supply chain may be at risk of disruption from impacts from severe extreme weather. Western Digital has an extensive in-house manufacturing network and hundreds of global production partners, suppliers and contract manufacturers across the globe. The facilities of many of our suppliers and our customers' suppliers are concentrated in certain geographic locations throughout Asia and elsewhere. A fire, flood, earthquake, tsunami or

*other natural disaster, condition or event such as a power outage, terrorist attack, political instability, civil unrest, localized labor unrest or other employment issues, or a health epidemic that adversely affects any of these facilities, the employees, the technology infrastructure or logistics operators at these facilities, would significantly affect our ability to manufacture or sell our products and source components, which would result in a substantial loss of sales and revenue and a substantial harm to our operating results. A significant event that impacts any of our manufacturing sites, or the sites of our customers or suppliers, could adversely affect our ability to manufacture or sell our products, and our business, financial condition and results of operations could suffer.*

#### **(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk**

*Select from:*

- ☒ Decreased revenues due to reduced production capacity

#### **(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization**

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

#### **(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon**

*Select from:*

- ☒ Likely

#### **(3.1.1.14) Magnitude**

*Select from:*

- ☒ Medium

#### **(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons**

*minor anticipated effect*

#### **(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?**

Select from:

☒ No

### (3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

#### Diversification

☒ Increase supplier diversification

### (3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

### (3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

*Western Digital has not yet calculated the costs related to responding to the risk. The risk response will include continued regular risk assessments and investments to build resilience to protect manufacturing operations from those risks.*

### (3.1.1.29) Description of response

*Western Digital has not yet calculated the costs related to responding to the risk. The risk response will include continued regular risk assessments and investments to build resilience to protect manufacturing operations from those risks.*

## Climate change

### (3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☒ Risk7

### (3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

#### Acute physical

☒ Drought

#### (3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

- ☒ Upstream value chain

#### (3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- ☒ China ☒ Taiwan, China
- ☒ India
- ☒ Japan
- ☒ Thailand
- ☒ Philippines

#### (3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

*As a result of climate change, Western Digital's supply chain may be at risk of disruption from impacts from severe extreme weather. Western Digital has an extensive in-house manufacturing network and hundreds of global production partners, suppliers and contract manufacturers across the globe. The facilities of many of our suppliers and our customers' suppliers are concentrated in certain geographic locations throughout Asia and elsewhere. A fire, flood, earthquake, tsunami or other natural disaster, condition or event such as a power outage, terrorist attack, political instability, civil unrest, localized labor unrest or other employment issues, or a health epidemic that adversely affects any of these facilities, the employees, the technology infrastructure or logistics operators at these facilities, would significantly affect our ability to manufacture or sell our products and source components, which would result in a substantial loss of sales and revenue and a substantial harm to our operating results. A significant event that impacts any of our manufacturing sites, or the sites of our customers or suppliers, could adversely affect our ability to manufacture or sell our products, and our business, financial condition and results of operations could suffer.*

#### (3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- ☒ Decreased revenues due to reduced production capacity

#### (3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Medium-term

☒ Long-term

#### (3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☒ Likely

#### (3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☒ Medium

#### (3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

*minor anticipated effect*

#### (3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

##### **Diversification**

☒ Increase supplier diversification

#### (3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

#### (3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

*Western Digital has not yet calculated the costs related to responding to the risk. The risk response will include continued regular risk assessments and investments to build resilience to protect manufacturing operations from those risks.*

### (3.1.1.29) Description of response

*Western Digital has not yet calculated the costs related to responding to the risk. The risk response will include continued regular risk assessments and investments to build resilience to protect manufacturing operations from those risks.*

## Climate change

### (3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☒ Risk8

### (3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

#### Acute physical

☒ Flooding (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, groundwater)

### (3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☒ Upstream value chain

### (3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☒ China

☒ Taiwan, China

☒ India

☒ Japan

☒ Thailand

☒ Philippines



### (3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

*As a result of climate change, Western Digital's supply chain may be at risk of disruption from impacts from severe extreme weather. Western Digital has an extensive in-house manufacturing network and hundreds of global production partners, suppliers and contract manufacturers across the globe. The facilities of many of our suppliers and our customers' suppliers are concentrated in certain geographic locations throughout Asia and elsewhere. A fire, flood, earthquake, tsunami or other natural disaster, condition or event such as a power outage, terrorist attack, political instability, civil unrest, localized labor unrest or other employment issues, or a health epidemic that adversely affects any of these facilities, the employees, the technology infrastructure or logistics operators at these facilities, would significantly affect our ability to manufacture or sell our products and source components, which would result in a substantial loss of sales and revenue and a substantial harm to our operating results. A significant event that impacts any of our manufacturing sites, or the sites of our customers or suppliers, could adversely affect our ability to manufacture or sell our products, and our business, financial condition and results of operations could suffer.*

### (3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- ☒ Decreased revenues due to reduced production capacity

### (3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

### (3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- ☒ Likely

### (3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

- ☒ Medium

### (3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

minor anticipated effect

### (3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☒ No

### (3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

#### Diversification

☒ Increase supplier diversification

### (3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

### (3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

*Western Digital has not yet calculated the costs related to responding to the risk. The risk response will include continued regular risk assessments and investments to build resilience to protect manufacturing operations from those risks.*

### (3.1.1.29) Description of response

*Western Digital has not yet calculated the costs related to responding to the risk. The risk response will include continued regular risk assessments and investments to build resilience to protect manufacturing operations from those risks.*

[Add row]

**(3.1.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics from the reporting year that are vulnerable to the substantive effects of environmental risks.**

#### Climate change

### (3.1.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Revenues Direct costs Capital expenditures Acquisitions and divestments Assets Liabilities

### (3.1.2.2) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

300000

### (3.1.2.3) % of total financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ 100%

### (3.1.2.4) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

0

### (3.1.2.5) % of total financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ 100%

### (3.1.2.7) Explanation of financial figures

*We evaluate and address climate-related risks and opportunities in the same manner as we do other significant risks and opportunities affecting our business. Data on potential climate risks and opportunities is regularly presented to the executive leadership team, which uses that data to develop business strategies and allocate financial resources throughout the organization in a way that avoids or mitigates risks and capitalizes on opportunities. The time horizon for financial planning is up to 5 years. As one example, climate change may increase the risk of flooding in certain geographies. Accordingly, Western Digital has invested in significant flood mitigation improvements at sites that are particularly susceptible to flooding. We will continue to monitor needs at our sites for other resiliency measures or retrofits to adapt to climate change and will incorporate the necessary expenditures into our financial planning. We are also monitoring needs for energy supply and demand side efficiency and going forward will incorporate capital expenditure requirements into financial planning where applicable.*

[Add row]

**(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?**

	Water-related regulatory violations	Comment
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	No

[Fixed row]

**(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(3.5.1) Select the carbon pricing regulation(s) which impact your operations.**

Select all that apply

- ☒ Japan carbon tax
- ☒ Shanghai pilot ETS
- ☒ Shenzhen pilot ETS

**(3.5.2) Provide details of each Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) your organization is regulated by.**

**Shanghai pilot ETS**

**(3.5.2.1) % of Scope 1 emissions covered by the ETS**

1.33

**(3.5.2.2) % of Scope 2 emissions covered by the ETS**

1.8

#### (3.5.2.3) Period start date

01/01/2023

#### (3.5.2.4) Period end date

12/31/2023

#### (3.5.2.5) Allowances allocated

106825

#### (3.5.2.6) Allowances purchased

0

#### (3.5.2.7) Verified Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e

1371.44

#### (3.5.2.8) Verified Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e

97032.2

#### (3.5.2.9) Details of ownership

Select from:

☒ Facilities we own and operate

#### (3.5.2.10) Comment

*The allowance was finalized after carbon emission audit by gov*

**Shenzhen pilot ETS**

### **(3.5.2.1) % of Scope 1 emissions covered by the ETS**

0.03

### **(3.5.2.2) % of Scope 2 emissions covered by the ETS**

7.9

### **(3.5.2.3) Period start date**

01/01/2023

### **(3.5.2.4) Period end date**

12/31/2023

### **(3.5.2.5) Allowances allocated**

67650

### **(3.5.2.6) Allowances purchased**

15837

### **(3.5.2.7) Verified Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e**

44

### **(3.5.2.8) Verified Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e**

83442.78

### **(3.5.2.9) Details of ownership**

Select from:

☒ Facilities we own and operate

### (3.5.2.10) Comment

*Using emissions factors provided by Shenzhen government for Scope 2 emissions  
[Fixed row]*

**(3.5.3) Complete the following table for each of the tax systems you are regulated by.**

**Japan carbon tax**

#### (3.5.3.1) Period start date

01/01/2023

#### (3.5.3.2) Period end date

12/31/2023

#### (3.5.3.3) % of total Scope 1 emissions covered by tax

1.33

#### (3.5.3.4) Total cost of tax paid

12034

#### (3.5.3.5) Comment

*Cost of tax paid is in USD, using conversion rate of 146.325 JPY/USD  
[Fixed row]*

**(3.5.4) What is your strategy for complying with the systems you are regulated by or anticipate being regulated by?**

*Japan Carbon Tax: As part of our integrated management system (IMS), we measure and track our fossil fuel usage at our Japanese facilities, complete quality checks and manage the data, and carefully meet the regulatory obligations by reporting the emissions and paying the required taxes. Considering that our energy use in Japan is a relatively small fraction of the Western Digital total, our focus is on reduction of our worldwide footprint rather than local cost avoidance. Shanghai and*

Shenzhen, China, Emissions Trading Schemes: Our compliance approach for the emissions trading schemes is similar to the approach mentioned above. As part of our IMS, we measure and track our annual fuel and energy usage at our Chinese facilities, complete quality checks, manage the data, and calculate the associated GHG emissions. These emissions are then 3rd party verified and then reported through the prescribed online reporting system. Each year we must surrender an amount of allowances that correspond to the previous year's verified emissions. The emission trading schemes are regulatory requirements with non-compliance penalties. As an example from Western Digital's Shenzhen site, based on previous years data, the government releases the next year carbon emission target to the site by a formal letter. In March every year, government invites a certified third-party to audit and qualify the authenticity of the previous year carbon emission data with industrial added value (a financial data) together and uploads them into the government's GHG report system. If the report and request reflect a gap between the actual emissions and government-calculated quota, the government requires Western Digital to comply with the target before June 30 every year.

**(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?**

	Environmental opportunities identified
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized
Water	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized

[Fixed row]

**(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.**

**Climate change**

**(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier**

Select from:

☒ Opp1



### (3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

#### Resource efficiency

- ☒ Cost savings

### (3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

- ☒ Direct operations

### (3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> China    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Thailand                 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> India    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Philippines              |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Japan    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> United States of America |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Israel   |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Malaysia |  |

### (3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

*Western Digital has committed to reduce absolute Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions by 42% by FY2030 (SBTi), from a FY2020 base year, consistent with the goal to limit warming to 1.5C above pre-industrial levels. To achieve these goals, we focus primarily on energy reductions through increased operational efficiencies, and adoption of on-site solar and direct procurement of renewable energy. In the final assembly and test site in Thailand, chillers account for 35% of the factory energy consumption. By investing in an ML/AI platform that delivers real-time chiller sequencing based on current and expected cooling load, coefficient of performance and energy efficiency ratio optimization, the chiller plant was optimized to deliver a 5.7% reduction which is 386k in annual cost savings and equivalent CO2e reductions.*

### (3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- ☒ Reduced direct costs

### (3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

#### **(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon**

*Select from:*

- ☒ Very likely (90–100%)

#### **(3.6.1.12) Magnitude**

*Select from:*

- ☒ High

#### **(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons**

*Reduced costs*

#### **(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?**

*Select from:*

- ☒ Yes

#### **(3.6.1.17) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term - minimum (currency)**

0

#### **(3.6.1.18) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)**

0

#### **(3.6.1.19) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term - minimum (currency)**

0

**(3.6.1.20) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term - maximum (currency)**

0

**(3.6.1.21) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term - minimum (currency)**

386000

**(3.6.1.22) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – maximum (currency)**

700000

**(3.6.1.23) Explanation of financial effect figures**

N/A

**(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity**

738000

**(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation**

*Baseline Coefficient of Performance was compared to the new CoP and actual energy utility data was used to verify the reduce energy consumption following optimized chiller plant sequencing.*

**(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity**

*Western Digital investment*

**Water**

**(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier**

*Select from:*

☒ Opp4

### (3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

#### Resource efficiency

☒ Reduced water usage and consumption

### (3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

### (3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☒ China

☒ India

☒ Japan

☒ Israel

☒ Malaysia

☒ Thailand

☒ Philippines

☒ United States of America

### (3.6.1.6) River basin where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☒ Unknown

### (3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

*Water plays an important role in our manufacturing processes, and we seek opportunities to both significantly reduce water withdrawal and optimize the use of recycled water. Western Digital has invested in water reclamation and water reuse solutions at certain sites, and there are continued opportunities for investment to apply successful solutions at additional manufacturing locations.*

### (3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

☒ Reduced direct costs

#### (3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☒ Medium-term

#### (3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☒ Very likely (90–100%)

#### (3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☒ Medium

#### (3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

*The cost to realize this opportunity has not yet been estimated. However, our strategy to realize this opportunity focuses on investments to advance water reclamation. Progress towards higher rates of water reclamation will result in a reduction of water withdrawals and thus reduction in costs.*

#### (3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

0

#### (3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

N/A

### (3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

N/A

## Climate change

### (3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☒ Opp1

### (3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

#### Resource efficiency

☒ Increased efficiency of production and/or distribution processes

### (3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

### (3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☒ China

☒ India

☒ Japan

☒ Israel

☒ Malaysia

☒ Thailand

☒ Philippines

☒ United States of America

### (3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

*Operational efficiencies are a focus of Western Digital's sustainability strategy, and have the potential to reduce direct costs.*

#### **(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity**

*Select from:*

☒ Reduced direct costs

#### **(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization**

*Select all that apply*

☒ Short-term

☒ Medium-term

☒ Long-term

#### **(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon**

*Select from:*

☒ Likely (66–100%)

#### **(3.6.1.12) Magnitude**

*Select from:*

☒ Medium

#### **(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons**

*The cost to realize this opportunity has not yet been estimated.*

#### **(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?**

*Select from:*

☒ No

#### **(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity**

0

#### (3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

N/A

#### (3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

N/A

### Climate change

#### (3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☒ Opp2

#### (3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

##### Energy source

☒ Use of renewable energy sources

#### (3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☒ China

☒ Malaysia

☒ Philippines

☒ Thailand



☒ United States of America

#### **(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description**

*Deployment of renewable energy is a central component of Western Digital's sustainability strategy and will be necessary to achieving 100% renewable energy by 2032.*

#### **(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity**

*Select from:*

☒ Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services

#### **(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization**

*Select all that apply*

☒ Long-term

#### **(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon**

*Select from:*

☒ Very likely (90–100%)

#### **(3.6.1.12) Magnitude**

*Select from:*

☒ Medium-high

#### **(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons**

*The cost to realize this opportunity has not yet been estimated.*

#### **(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?**

*Select from:*

☒ No

#### (3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

0

#### (3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

N/A

#### (3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

N/A

[Add row]

**(3.6.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics in the reporting year that are aligned with the substantive effects of environmental opportunities.**

#### **Climate change**

##### (3.6.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Not Applicable

##### (3.6.2.2) Amount of financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

0

##### (3.6.2.3) % of total financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

#### (3.6.2.4) Explanation of financial figures

*Western Digital's customers are increasingly seeking more energy efficient, lower emissions products. Several of Western Digital's customers have also set emissions reduction targets, thereby signaling an intent to reduce operational energy needs. This promotes the market for Western Digital products that use significantly less energy when compared with alternative solutions, and also products with a lower cradle to grave footprint. Western Digital has an opportunity to meet the shift in consumer preferences by prioritizing innovation that continues to reduce the energy requirements of products, which will in turn lower emissions associated with the "customer use" phase of products, and innovation focused on reducing emissions from the manufacturing phase to lower the overall product footprint.*

#### Water

##### (3.6.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify

##### (3.6.2.2) Amount of financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

0

##### (3.6.2.3) % of total financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ 100%

#### (3.6.2.4) Explanation of financial figures

*The cost to realize this opportunity has not yet been estimated. However, our strategy to realize this opportunity focuses on investments to advance water reclamation. Progress towards higher rates of water reclamation will result in a reduction of water withdrawals and thus reduction in costs.*

[Add row]

## C4. Governance

### (4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

#### (4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets

Select from:

☒ Quarterly

#### (4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of

Select all that apply

☒ Executive directors or equivalent

#### (4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy

Select from:

☒ Yes, and it is publicly available

#### (4.1.5) Briefly describe what the policy covers

*Our Corporate Governance Guidelines require the Governance Committee to include — and instruct any search firm it engages to include — women and members of underrepresented communities in the pool from which the committee selects director nominees. This provision reflects our Board's continued commitment to diversity in the boardroom.*

#### (4.1.6) Attach the policy (optional)

*western-digital-corporate-governance-guidelines.pdf*  
[Fixed row]

#### (4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?

	Board-level oversight of this environmental issue	Primary reason for no board-level oversight of this environmental issue	Explain why your organization does not have board-level oversight of this environmental issue
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from:	Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]
Water	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from:	Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]
Biodiversity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not an immediate strategic priority	Biodiversity has not arisen as a material issue for us in our materiality assessments

[Fixed row]

#### (4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.

##### Climate change

##### (4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Board-level committee

##### (4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Board Terms of Reference

#### (4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

- ☒ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

#### (4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- ☒ Overseeing and guiding scenario analysis
- ☒ Overseeing the setting of corporate targets
- ☒ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets
- ☒ Overseeing reporting, audit, and verification processes
- ☒ Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy
- ☒ Monitoring supplier compliance with organizational requirements
- ☒ Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

#### (4.1.2.7) Please explain

*The Governance Committee is responsible for assisting our Board in overseeing our corporate responsibility and sustainability policies and programs, including those related to climate change. The Governance Committee also has specific responsibility for periodic review of Western Digital's policies, practices, and programs related to environmental and climate change. Key enterprise risks are raised to the Audit Committee and full Board as part of our enterprise risk management ("ERM") process. When climate-related issues rise to the level of a key enterprise risk, they are reviewed as part of this process. The Audit Committee of the Board has responsibility for oversight of the ERM program.*

### Water

#### (4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Board-level committee

#### (4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

#### (4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Board Terms of Reference

#### (4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

- ☒ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

#### (4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- ☒ Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Overseeing reporting, audit, and verification processes
- ☒ Approving corporate policies and/or commitments
- ☒ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets

#### (4.1.2.7) Please explain

*The Governance Committee is responsible for assisting our Board in overseeing our corporate responsibility and sustainability policies and programs, including those related to climate change. The Governance Committee also has specific responsibility for periodic review of Western Digital's policies, practices, and programs related to environmental and climate change. Key enterprise risks are raised to the Audit Committee and full Board as part of our enterprise risk management ("ERM") process. When climate-related issues rise to the level of a key enterprise risk, they are reviewed as part of this process. The Audit Committee of the Board has responsibility for oversight of the ERM program.*

[Fixed row]

## **(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?**

### **Climate change**

#### **(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue**

*Select from:*

☒ Yes

#### **(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board**

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group
- ☒ Engaging regularly with external stakeholders and experts on environmental issues
- ☒ Integrating knowledge of environmental issues into board nominating process
- ☒ Regular training for directors on environmental issues, industry best practice, and standards (e.g., TCFD, SBTi)
- ☒ Having at least one board member with expertise on this environmental issue

#### **(4.2.3) Environmental expertise of the board member**

##### **Experience**

- ☒ Active member of an environmental committee or organization

### **Water**

#### **(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue**

*Select from:*

☒ Yes

#### **(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board**

*Select all that apply*



☒ Regular training for directors on environmental issues, industry best practice, and standards (e.g., TCFD, SBTi)

[Fixed row]

#### (4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?

	Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue	Primary reason for no management-level responsibility for environmental issues	Explain why your organization does not have management-level responsibility for environmental issues
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from:	Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]
Water	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from:	Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]
Biodiversity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not an immediate strategic priority	This did not emerge as an impactful issue in Western Digital's last materiality assessment

[Fixed row]

#### (4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

##### Climate change

##### (4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

###### Executive level

☒ Chief Operating Officer (COO)

##### (4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

### **Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities**

- ☒ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

### **Engagement**

- ☒ Managing supplier compliance with environmental requirements
- ☒ Managing value chain engagement related to environmental issues

### **Policies, commitments, and targets**

- ☒ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- ☒ Measuring progress towards environmental science-based targets
- ☒ Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- ☒ Setting corporate environmental targets

### **Strategy and financial planning**

- ☒ Conducting environmental scenario analysis
- ☒ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- ☒ Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes

## **(4.3.1.4) Reporting line**

*Select from:*

- ☒ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

## **(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues**

*Select from:*

- ☒ Quarterly

## **(4.3.1.6) Please explain**

*The audit and governance committees of the board meet quarterly and receive sustainability updates*

## Water

### (4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

#### Executive level

- ☒ Chief Operating Officer (COO)

### (4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

#### Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- ☒ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

#### Policies, commitments, and targets

- ☒ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- ☒ Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments

### (4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- ☒ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

### (4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ Quarterly

### (4.3.1.6) Please explain

The audit and governance committees of the board meet quarterly and receive sustainability updates

[Add row]

**(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?**

	Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue	% of total C-suite and board-level monetary incentives linked to the management of this environmental issue	Please explain
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	100	A spectrum of payout is assigned based on track to goal. Under performance reduces payout and over performance increases payout.
Water	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to introduce them in the next two years	Numeric input [must be between [0 - 100]	Water is a much less material sustainability issue for the company.

[Fixed row]

**(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).**

**Climate change**

**(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive**

**Board or executive level**

☒ Corporate executive team

**(4.5.1.2) Incentives**

Select all that apply

☒ Bonus – set figure

**(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics**

### Targets

- ☒ Progress towards environmental targets
- ☒ Achievement of environmental targets
- ☒ Organization performance against an environmental sustainability index

### Emission reduction

- ☒ Implementation of an emissions reduction initiative
- ☒ Increased share of renewable energy in total energy consumption
- ☒ Reduction in absolute emissions

#### (4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

- ☒ Short-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent, only (e.g. contractual annual bonus)

#### (4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

*Payout scales from a 25% reduction to a 100% increase in short-term incentive depending on performance to annual targets.*

#### (4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

*Improved oversight.*

*[Add row]*

### (4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?

	Does your organization have any environmental policies?
	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

#### (4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.

##### Row 1

##### (4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Climate change
- ☒ Water
- ☒ Biodiversity

##### (4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

*Select from:*

- ☒ Organization-wide

##### (4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Direct operations
- ☒ Upstream value chain

##### (4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

The environmental policy extends to the organization globally, and through to our partners via our code of conduct.

**(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content**

**Environmental commitments**

- ☒ Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards

**Climate-specific commitments**

- ☒ Commitment to 100% renewable energy
- ☒ Commitment to net-zero emissions

**Water-specific commitments**

- ☒ Commitment to reduce water withdrawal volumes

**Social commitments**

- ☒ Commitment to promote gender equality and women’s empowerment
- ☒ Commitment to respect internationally recognized human rights
- ☒ Commitment to secure Free, Prior, and Informed Consent (FPIC) of indigenous people and local communities

**(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select all that apply

- ☒ No, but we plan to align in the next two years

**(4.6.1.7) Public availability**

Select from:

- ☒ Not publicly available

**(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy**

western-digital-FY2023-sustainability-report.pdf  
[Add row]

#### **(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?**

##### **(4.10.1) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?**

Select from:

☒ Yes

##### **(4.10.2) Collaborative framework or initiative**

Select all that apply

☒ Science-Based Targets Initiative (SBTi)

☒ Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)

##### **(4.10.3) Describe your organization's role within each framework or initiative**

Guides goal-setting, targets, and disclosures.

[Fixed row]

#### **(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?**

##### **(4.11.1) External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment**

Select all that apply

☒ Yes, we engaged indirectly through, and/or provided financial or in-kind support to a trade association or other intermediary organization or individual whose activities could influence policy, law, or regulation

##### **(4.11.2) Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have a public commitment or position statement in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals



### (4.11.3) Global environmental treaties or policy goals in line with public commitment or position statement

Select all that apply

☒ Paris Agreement

### (4.11.4) Attach commitment or position statement

*western-digital-FY2023-sustainability-report.pdf*

### (4.11.5) Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register

Select from:

☒ No

### (4.11.8) Describe the process your organization has in place to ensure that your external engagement activities are consistent with your environmental commitments and/or transition plan

*Western Digital's Code of Conduct ensures that external engagement activities are consistent with Western Digital Environmental commitments and transition plan*  
[Fixed row]

**(4.11.2) Provide details of your indirect engagement on policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment through trade associations or other intermediary organizations or individuals in the reporting year.**

**Row 1**

#### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

☒ Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

**Asia and Pacific**

☒ Japan Business Federation (Keidanren)

**(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position**

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

**(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with**

Select from:

☒ Mixed

**(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year**

Select from:

☒ No, we did not attempt to influence their position

**(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position**

*Western Digital's position on climate change policy is generally aligned with the Japan Business Federation (Keidanren), although we may differ somewhat in the details of how climate change policy can best be implemented through regulatory oversight and enforcement.*

**(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)**

0

**(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

☒ No, we have not evaluated

## Row 2

### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

☒ Indirect engagement via a trade association

### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

North America

☒ US Chamber of Commerce

### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

☒ Mixed

### (4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ No, we did not attempt to influence their position

### (4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

Western Digital's position on climate change policy is generally aligned with the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, although we may differ somewhat in the details of how climate change policy can best be implemented through regulatory oversight and enforcement.

#### (4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

0

#### (4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☒ No, we have not evaluated

### Row 3

#### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

☒ Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

Global

☒ Other global trade association, please specify

#### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

#### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

☒ Mixed

**(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year**

Select from:

☒ No, we did not attempt to influence their position

**(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position**

*Western Digital's position on climate change policy is generally aligned with Silicon Valley Leadership Group, although we may differ somewhat in the details of how climate change policy can best be implemented through regulatory oversight and enforcement.*

**(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)**

0

**(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

☒ No, we have not evaluated

**Row 4**

**(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement**

Select from:

☒ Indirect engagement via a trade association

**(4.11.2.4) Trade association**

## Global

☒ Other global trade association, please specify

### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

☒ Mixed

### (4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ No, we did not attempt to influence their position

### (4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

*Western Digital's position on climate change policy is generally aligned with Semiconductor Industry Association, although we may differ somewhat in the details of how climate change policy can best be implemented through regulatory oversight and enforcement.*

### (4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

0

### (4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☒ No, we have not evaluated

[Add row]

**(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.**

**Row 1**

**(4.12.1.1) Publication**

Select from:

☒ In voluntary sustainability reports

**(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication**

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

☒ Water

**(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication**

Select from:

☒ Complete

**(4.12.1.5) Content elements**

Select all that apply

- ☒ Strategy

☒ Governance

☒ Emission targets

☒ Emissions figures

☒ Risks & Opportunities
- ☒ Value chain engagement

☒ Dependencies & Impacts

☒ Water accounting figures

(4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

Our Strategy (page 29)

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

western-digital-FY2023-sustainability-report.pdf

(4.12.1.8) Comment

*Building a More Sustainable Future — One Data Point at a Time: Customers, investors, and business leaders are demanding that sustainability be woven into the core of business. We know that operating sustainably protects our people, our communities, and our planet, and it creates value and opportunities for our company in the long run. As Western Digital further embeds sustainable practices into our business strategy, we continue to look to data and metrics to inform our priorities and initiatives. We acknowledge that data and metrics are most effective when they are openly disclosed. We embrace transparency with our customers, partners, and peers through regular sustainability reporting and other communications to advance sustainable business practices and have a more positive impact on the world around us.*

[Add row]



## C5. Business strategy

### (5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?

#### Climate change

##### (5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

☒ Yes

##### (5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:

☒ Every two years

#### Water

##### (5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

☒ No, but we plan to within the next two years

##### (5.1.3) Primary reason why your organization has not used scenario analysis

Select from:

☒ Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

##### (5.1.4) Explain why your organization has not used scenario analysis

WD recently published goals pertaining to water and will conduct a scenario analysis in the future to inform strategy.

[Fixed row]

## **(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization's scenario analysis.**

### **Climate change**

#### **(5.1.1.1) Scenario used**

##### **Physical climate scenarios**

☒ RCP 2.6

#### **(5.1.1.2) Scenario used    SSPs used in conjunction with scenario**

*Select from:*

☒ SSP1

#### **(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario**

*Select from:*

☒ Qualitative and quantitative

#### **(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage**

*Select from:*

☒ Organization-wide

#### **(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario**

*Select all that apply*

☒ Policy

☒ Market

☒ Liability

☒ Reputation

☒ Technology

☒ Acute physical

☒ Chronic physical

#### (5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

- ☒ 1.5°C or lower

#### (5.1.1.7) Reference year

2020

#### (5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ 2030
- ☒ 2040
- ☒ 2050

#### (5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

##### Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- ☒ Changes to the state of nature
- ☒ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

##### Stakeholder and customer demands

- ☒ Consumer sentiment

##### Regulators, legal and policy regimes

- ☒ Global regulation
- ☒ Political impact of science (from galvanizing to paralyzing)
- ☒ Level of action (from local to global)

##### Direct interaction with climate

- ☒ On asset values, on the corporate

## Macro and microeconomy

- ☒ Domestic growth
- ☒ Globalizing markets

### (5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

• *Understanding Context: An independent third-party firm- interviewed internal stakeholders to identify key trends that are shaping Western Digital's future operating context. The firm conducted complementary research on trends (environmental, economic, social, political and technological) relevant to Western Digital's industry and geography.* • *Scenario Development: Western Digital leveraged a set of three 2030 scenarios developed by the consulting firm for the We Mean Business coalition, with extensive input from the climate community. The scenarios were augmented with industry and geography trends and incorporated credible climate projections (from 1.5C – 4C) for emissions reductions and climate impacts. Furthermore, third-party climate projections consider a small range of variables, e.g., fuel mix, GDP growth, etc., whereas the scenarios used by Western Digital augmented these with consideration of additional factors such as political developments, emerging technologies and new business.* • *Strategic Implications: A workshop was conducted with internal Western Digital stakeholders to identify the potential risks and opportunities for each scenario and identify ideas to enhance Western Digital's resilience and refine its strategy, as a result of this process, we identified three areas of our strategy that may incur risks and opportunities across all scenarios. These scenario insights will be reviewed by Western Digital's Sustainability and Enterprise Risk Management teams and incorporated into Western Digital's strategy and risk management processes as deemed necessary.*

### (5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

*TCFD Recommendations alignment*

## Climate change

### (5.1.1.1) Scenario used

#### Physical climate scenarios

- ☒ RCP 4.5

### (5.1.1.2) Scenario used    SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

*Select from:*

- ☒ No SSP used

### (5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☒ Qualitative and quantitative

#### (5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

#### (5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☒ Policy

☒ Market

☒ Liability

☒ Reputation

☒ Technology

☒ Acute physical

☒ Chronic physical

#### (5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☒ 2.0°C - 2.4°C

#### (5.1.1.7) Reference year

2020

#### (5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☒ 2030

☒ 2040

☒ 2050

#### (5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

### **Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts**

- ✓ Changes to the state of nature
- ✓ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

### **Stakeholder and customer demands**

- ✓ Consumer sentiment

### **Regulators, legal and policy regimes**

- ✓ Global regulation
- ✓ Political impact of science (from galvanizing to paralyzing)
- ✓ Level of action (from local to global)

### **Direct interaction with climate**

- ✓ On asset values, on the corporate

### **Macro and microeconomy**

- ✓ Domestic growth
- ✓ Globalizing markets

## **(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario**

• *Understanding Context:* An independent third-party firm- interviewed internal stakeholders to identify key trends that are shaping Western Digital's future operating context. The firm conducted complementary research on trends (environmental, economic, social, political and technological) relevant to Western Digital's industry and geography. • *Scenario Development:* Western Digital leveraged a set of three 2030 scenarios developed by the consulting firm for the We Mean Business coalition, with extensive input from the climate community. The scenarios were augmented with industry and geography trends and incorporated credible climate projections (from 1.5C – 4C) for emissions reductions and climate impacts. Furthermore, third-party climate projections consider a small range of variables, e.g., fuel mix, GDP growth, etc., whereas the scenarios used by Western Digital augmented these with consideration of additional factors such as political developments, emerging technologies and new business. • *Strategic Implications:* A workshop was conducted with internal Western Digital stakeholders to identify the potential risks and opportunities for each scenario and identify ideas to enhance Western Digital's resilience and refine its strategy, as a result of this process, we identified three areas of our strategy that may incur risks and opportunities across all scenarios. These scenario insights will be reviewed by Western Digital's Sustainability and Enterprise Risk Management teams and incorporated into Western Digital's strategy and risk management processes as deemed necessary.

## **(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario**

TCFD Recommendations alignment

## Climate change

### (5.1.1.1) Scenario used

#### Physical climate scenarios

☒ RCP 8.5

### (5.1.1.2) Scenario used    SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

*Select from:*

☒ SSP3

### (5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

*Select from:*

☒ Qualitative and quantitative

### (5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

*Select from:*

☒ Organization-wide

### (5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

*Select all that apply*

☒ Policy

☒ Market

☒ Liability

☒ Reputation

☒ Technology

☒ Acute physical

☒ Chronic physical

### (5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

- ☒ 4.0°C and above

#### (5.1.1.7) Reference year

2020

#### (5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ 2030
- ☒ 2040
- ☒ 2050

#### (5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

##### Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- ☒ Changes to the state of nature
- ☒ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

##### Stakeholder and customer demands

- ☒ Consumer sentiment

##### Regulators, legal and policy regimes

- ☒ Global regulation
- ☒ Political impact of science (from galvanizing to paralyzing)
- ☒ Level of action (from local to global)

##### Direct interaction with climate

- ☒ On asset values, on the corporate

##### Macro and microeconomy

- ☒ Domestic growth
- ☒ Globalizing markets



### (5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

• *Understanding Context:* An independent third-party firm- interviewed internal stakeholders to identify key trends that are shaping Western Digital's future operating context. The firm conducted complementary research on trends (environmental, economic, social, political and technological) relevant to Western Digital's industry and geography. • *Scenario Development:* Western Digital leveraged a set of three 2030 scenarios developed by the consulting firm for the We Mean Business coalition, with extensive input from the climate community. The scenarios were augmented with industry and geography trends and incorporated credible climate projections (from 1.5C – 4C) for emissions reductions and climate impacts. Furthermore, third-party climate projections consider a small range of variables, e.g., fuel mix, GDP growth, etc., whereas the scenarios used by Western Digital augmented these with consideration of additional factors such as political developments, emerging technologies and new business. • *Strategic Implications:* A workshop was conducted with internal Western Digital stakeholders to identify the potential risks and opportunities for each scenario and identify ideas to enhance Western Digital's resilience and refine its strategy, as a result of this process, we identified three areas of our strategy that may incur risks and opportunities across all scenarios. These scenario insights will be reviewed by Western Digital's Sustainability and Enterprise Risk Management teams and incorporated into Western Digital's strategy and risk management processes as deemed necessary.

### (5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

TCFD Recommendations alignment  
[Add row]

## (5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization's scenario analysis.

### Climate change

#### (5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

Select all that apply

- ☒ Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management
- ☒ Strategy and financial planning
- ☒ Resilience of business model and strategy
- ☒ Target setting and transition planning

#### (5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

### (5.1.2.3) Summarize the outcomes of the scenario analysis and any implications for other environmental issues

*As a result of this process, we identified three areas of our strategy that may incur risks and opportunities across all scenarios. These scenario insights are reviewed by Western Digital's Sustainability and Enterprise Risk Management teams and incorporated into Western Digital's strategy and risk management processes as appropriate.*

*[Fixed row]*

## (5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?

### (5.2.1) Transition plan

*Select from:*

☒ Yes, we have a climate transition plan which aligns with a 1.5°C world

### (5.2.3) Publicly available climate transition plan

*Select from:*

☒ Yes

### (5.2.4) Plan explicitly commits to cease all spending on, and revenue generation from, activities that contribute to fossil fuel expansion

*Select from:*

☒ Yes

### (5.2.5) Description of activities included in commitment and implementation of commitment

*Committed to: • Running our global operations on 100%renewable energy by 2030 • Achieving net zero emissions in our operations (Scope 1 and 2 emissions) by 2032*

### (5.2.7) Mechanism by which feedback is collected from shareholders on your climate transition plan

Select from:

☒ We do not have a feedback mechanism in place, but we plan to introduce one within the next two years

#### (5.2.10) Description of key assumptions and dependencies on which the transition plan relies

*Western Digital uses publicly available and consultant projections on cost, availability, and demand forecasts.*

#### (5.2.11) Description of progress against transition plan disclosed in current or previous reporting period

*We are making progress in several areas: • As of mid-2021, Western Digital's facilities in Northern California run on 100% renewable energy. • As of 2023, our Philippines Laguna site runs on 100% renewable energy. • In Thailand, we continue to work closely with the Energy Regulatory Commission and the Electricity Generation Authority of Thailand to explore the Utility Green Tariff program. We plan to procure additional renewable energy to support 3 manufacturing locations beyond the 180,000 MWh per year secured via the Sandbox program for FY2023 and FY2024. • Western Digital is working to implement on-site solar at multiple facilities around the world. One facility in Malaysia began generating power in early 2023, and we are planning to expand this capacity in FY2024.*

#### (5.2.12) Attach any relevant documents which detail your climate transition plan (optional)

*western-digital-FY2023-sustainability-report.pdf*

#### (5.2.13) Other environmental issues that your climate transition plan considers

Select all that apply

☒ Water

☒ Other, please specify :Waste diverted from landfill.

#### (5.2.14) Explain how the other environmental issues are considered in your climate transition plan

*As interlinked goals.*

*[Fixed row]*

### (5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?

#### (5.3.1) Environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy and/or financial planning

Select from:

☒ Yes, strategy only

### (5.3.2) Business areas where environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy

Select all that apply

- ☒ Products and services
- ☒ Upstream/downstream value chain
- ☒ Investment in R&D
- ☒ Operations

### (5.3.3) Primary reason why environmental risks and/or opportunities have not affected your strategy and/or financial planning

Select from:

- ☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

### (5.3.4) Explain why environmental risks and/or opportunities have not affected your strategy and/or financial planning

Areas that have not been included in the strategic or financial planning process were those that were not identified as material to the business.  
[Fixed row]

### (5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.

	Effect type	Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area	Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area
Products and services	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Opportunities	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change	Increasing the energy efficiency of products is a priority for Western Digital
Upstream/downstream value chain	Select all that apply	Select all that apply	Increasing the procurement of renewable energy with Western Digital upstream partners is a priority

	Effect type	Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area	Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Opportunities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change	
Investment in R&D	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Opportunities	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change	Western Digital is exploring the use of recycled materials and advanced recycling of products to reduce environmental impacts.
Operations	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Opportunities	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change	Increasing the procurement of renewable energy within Western Digital operations is a priority.

[Add row]

**(5.4) In your organization's financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition?**

	Identification of spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but we plan to in the next two years

[Fixed row]

**(5.9) What is the trend in your organization's water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?**

#### **(5.9.1) Water-related CAPEX (+/- % change)**

0

**(5.9.2) Anticipated forward trend for CAPEX (+/- % change)**

0

**(5.9.3) Water-related OPEX (+/- % change)**

0

**(5.9.4) Anticipated forward trend for OPEX (+/- % change)**

0

**(5.9.5) Please explain**

*In this reporting period, there were no investments for water management related facilities or water conservation projects. All saving projects are operation-efficiency improvement with no investment. Considering near-term market conditions, we are anticipating similar trending.*

*[Fixed row]*

**(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?**

	Use of internal pricing of environmental externalities	Primary reason for not pricing environmental externalities	Explain why your organization does not price environmental externalities
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to in the next two years	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify	<i>Western Digital has other internal mechanisms to ensure environmental accountability.</i>

*[Fixed row]*

**(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?**

	Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues	Environmental issues covered
Suppliers	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water
Customers	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water
Investors and shareholders	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water
Other value chain stakeholders	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change

[Fixed row]

### **(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?**

#### **Climate change**

##### **(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment**

Select from:

☒ Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

##### **(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment**

Select all that apply

☒ Contribution to supplier-related Scope 3 emissions

#### **(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed**

Select from:

☒ 76-99%

#### **(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment**

*Western Digital classify suppliers impact as significant based on spend figures.*

#### **(5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment**

Select from:

☒ 76-99%

#### **(5.11.1.6) Number of Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment**

138

### **Water**

#### **(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment**

Select from:

☒ No, we do not assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers, and have no plans to do so within two years

*[Fixed row]*

#### **(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?**

### **Climate change**



#### (5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

#### (5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Procurement spend

#### (5.11.2.4) Please explain

*Western Digital classify suppliers impact as significant based on spend figures.*

### Water

#### (5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ No, we do not prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

#### (5.11.2.3) Primary reason for no supplier prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

#### (5.11.2.4) Please explain

*Supplier water impacts are much less material than climate impacts based on Western Digital's most recent materiality assessment.*

*[Fixed row]*

#### (5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process?

	Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process	Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance	Comment
Climate change	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, environmental requirements related to this environmental issue are included in our supplier contracts	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, we have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance	<i>scoc annual supplier commitment letter</i>
Water	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but we plan to introduce environmental requirements related to this environmental issue within the next two years	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, we do not have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance	<i>not currently only requiring cdp disclosure for suppliers</i>

[Fixed row]

### **(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization's purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.**

#### **Climate change**

##### **(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement**

*Select from:*

- ☒ Setting a science-based emissions reduction target

##### **(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement**

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Other, please specify :Using supplier response in CDP Online Response System

##### **(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement**

*Select from:*

- ☒ 76-99%

#### **(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement**

*Select from:*

☒ 76-99%

#### **(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement**

*Select from:*

☒ 76-99%

#### **(5.11.6.8) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers in compliance with this environmental requirement**

*Select from:*

☒ 76-99%

#### **(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement**

*Select from:*

☒ Retain and engage

#### **(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged**

*Select from:*

☒ 76-99%

#### **(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers**

*Select all that apply*

☒ Providing information on appropriate actions that can be taken to address non-compliance

#### **(5.11.6.12) Comment**

Starting in CY2021 Western Digital began asking suppliers to establish Science-Based Targets (SBT).  
[Add row]

## **(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues.**

### **Climate change**

#### **(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement**

Select from:

- ☒ Emissions reduction

#### **(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement**

##### **Capacity building**

- ☒ Provide training, support and best practices on how to measure GHG emissions
- ☒ Provide training, support and best practices on how to set science-based targets
- ☒ Support suppliers to set their own environmental commitments across their operations

#### **(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage**

Select all that apply

- ☒ Tier 1 suppliers

#### **(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement**

Select from:

- ☒ 76-99%

#### **(5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement**

Select from:

- ☒ 76-99%

#### (5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

*We initially invited over 160 in-scope suppliers to provide responses to both questionnaires, of which 96% and 95% participated for climate and water, respectively. In-scope suppliers expanded with the addition of Capital Equipment suppliers from Indirect Materials*

#### (5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes, please specify the environmental requirement :Starting in CY2021 Western Digital began asking suppliers to establish Science-Based Targets (SBT).

#### (5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

☒ Unknown

### Water

#### (5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

☒ No other supplier engagement

[Add row]

#### (5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.

### Climate change

#### (5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☒ Customers

#### (5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

## Education/Information sharing

- ☒ Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

## Innovation and collaboration

- ☒ Align your organization's goals to support customers' targets and ambitions
- ☒ Collaborate with stakeholders in creation and review of your climate transition plan
- ☒ Collaborate with stakeholders on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services

### (5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

- ☒ 76-99%

### (5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

- ☒ 76-99%

### (5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

*Western Digital has established a streamlined, centrally managed process for our customers to engage with us on any corporate social and environmental responsibility (CSER) topics, including climate change, and discuss their respective priorities and data needs. The rationale for engaging with customers is to meet customer expectations and to collaborate on common CSER goals. Climate engagement is primarily with clients requesting information via the CDP Supplier Module, through RBA's on-line reporting platform, as well as direct client questionnaires to Western Digital.*

### (5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

*Western Digital's transparency and willingness to collaborate on sustainability initiatives has improved our relationships with customers and is increasingly being recognized in customer feedback during quarterly Quality Business Reviews. Our measure of success is enhanced long-term relationships with customers, especially as reflected by improved quarterly performance scores.*

## Water

### (5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☒ Investors and shareholders

#### (5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

##### Education/Information sharing

☒ Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

#### (5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☒ 76-99%

#### (5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

*Responding to inquiries.*

#### (5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

*Unclear at this time.*

### Climate change

#### (5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☒ Investors and shareholders

#### (5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

##### Education/Information sharing

☒ Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

### (5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☒ 76-99%

### (5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

☒ 76-99%

### (5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Responding to inquiries.

### (5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Unclear at this time.

[Add row]

**(5.12) Indicate any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives you could collaborate on with specific CDP Supply Chain members.**

#### Row 1

### (5.12.2) Environmental issues the initiative relates to

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

### (5.12.4) Initiative category and type

**Logistical change**

☒ Change transportation mode (e.g., switch from aviation to rail)



### (5.12.5) Details of initiative

*Western Digital is working with suppliers to evaluate preferential use of ocean and rail shipping over air shipping where possible and practical. This would significantly reduce our emissions impacts from logistics.*

### (5.12.6) Expected benefits

*Select all that apply*

☒ Reduction of downstream value chain emissions (own scope 3)

### (5.12.7) Estimated timeframe for realization of benefits

*Select from:*

☒ 1-3 years

### (5.12.8) Are you able to estimate the lifetime CO2e and/or water savings of this initiative?

*Select from:*

☒ No

### (5.12.11) Please explain

*The CO2e savings are highly dependent on agreements between Western Digital and individual customers, on a case-by-case basis. Accurate estimation is difficult.*  
*[Add row]*

## (5.13) Has your organization already implemented any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement?

### (5.13.1) Environmental initiatives implemented due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement

*Select from:*

☒ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

### (5.13.2) Primary reason for not implementing environmental initiatives

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Collaborating with members through other engagement outside of CDP Supply Chain

### (5.13.3) Explain why your organization has not implemented any environmental initiatives

*Western Digital is collaborating with CDP Supply Chain members outside of the CDP Supply Chain framework. We are working with our key suppliers (in terms of spend and emissions) to build capacity and develop more mature environmental sustainability programs.*

*[Fixed row]*

## C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.

	Consolidation approach used	Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operational control	Consistent with previous reporting
Water	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operational control	Consistent with previous reporting
Plastics	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operational control	Consistent with previous reporting
Biodiversity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operational control	Consistent with previous reporting

[Fixed row]

C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

	Has there been a structural change?
	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

	Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?
	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

**(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.**

Select all that apply

- ☒ IEA CO2 Emissions from Fuel Combustion
- ☒ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)
- ☒ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Public Sector Standard
- ☒ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Scope 2 Guidance

**(7.3) Describe your organization’s approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.**

	Scope 2, location-based	Scope 2, market-based	Comment
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure	If market-based emissions factors are not available, location-based emissions factors are used alternatively.

[Fixed row]

**(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?**

Select from:

- ☒ No

**(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.**

**Scope 1**

**(7.5.1) Base year end**

06/30/2020

#### **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

44643.4

#### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*The Scope 1 emissions total includes gas, oil usage, CO2 for cleaning, and fugitive gas for facility operations. This value is not restated from previous disclosures.*

### **Scope 2 (location-based)**

#### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

06/30/2020

#### **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

958051.6

#### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*The Scope 2 location-based emissions total includes the use of purchased electricity for facility operations. This value is not restated from previous disclosures.*

### **Scope 2 (market-based)**

#### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

06/30/2020

#### **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

1000811.1

#### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*The Scope 2 market-based emissions total includes the use of purchased electricity for facility operations. This value is not restated from previous disclosures. Note, location-based emission factors were referenced for locations where market-based factors were unavailable.*

### **Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods and services**

#### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

06/30/2020

#### **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

1566098.0

#### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*This value is not restated from previous disclosures.*

### **Scope 3 category 2: Capital goods**

#### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

06/30/2020

#### **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

246667.0

#### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*This value is not restated from previous disclosures.*

### **Scope 3 category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)**

#### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

06/30/2020

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

232813.0

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*This value is not restated from previous disclosures.*

## Scope 3 category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

### (7.5.1) Base year end

06/30/2020

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

586691.0

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*This value is not restated from previous disclosures.*

## Scope 3 category 5: Waste generated in operations

### (7.5.1) Base year end

06/30/2020

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

484.0

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*This value is not restated from previous disclosures.*



## Scope 3 category 6: Business travel

### (7.5.1) Base year end

06/30/2020

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

27254.0

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*This value is not restated from previous disclosures.*

## Scope 3 category 7: Employee commuting

### (7.5.1) Base year end

06/30/2020

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

49341.0

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*This value is not restated from previous disclosures.*

## Scope 3 category 8: Upstream leased assets

### (7.5.1) Base year end

06/30/2020

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

7821.0

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*This value is not restated from previous disclosures.*

## Scope 3 category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution

### (7.5.1) Base year end

06/30/2020

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

34966.0

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*This value is not restated from previous disclosures.*

## Scope 3 category 10: Processing of sold products

### (7.5.1) Base year end

06/30/2020

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*Western Digital completed an assessment of Scope 3 emissions, and it was determined that this category is not relevant to the business. Western Digital products do not require further processing.*

## Scope 3 category 11: Use of sold products

#### (7.5.1) Base year end

06/30/2020

#### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6862142.0

#### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*This value is not restated from previous disclosures.*

### Scope 3 category 12: End of life treatment of sold products

#### (7.5.1) Base year end

06/30/2020

#### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3843.0

#### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*This value is not restated from previous disclosures.*

### Scope 3 category 13: Downstream leased assets

#### (7.5.1) Base year end

06/30/2020

#### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*Western Digital completed an assessment of Scope 3 emissions, and it was determined that this category is not relevant to the business. Western Digital does not have downstream leased assets.*

## Scope 3 category 14: Franchises

### (7.5.1) Base year end

06/30/2020

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*Western Digital completed an assessment of Scope 3 emissions, and it was determined that this category is not relevant to the business. Western Digital does not have downstream leased assets.*

## Scope 3 category 15: Investments

### (7.5.1) Base year end

06/30/2020

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1064543.0

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*This value is not restated from previous disclosures.*

## Scope 3: Other (upstream)

#### (7.5.1) Base year end

06/30/2020

#### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

#### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*Western Digital completed an assessment of Scope 3 emissions, and it was determined that this category is not relevant to the business. Western Digital does not have additional other upstream emissions.*

### Scope 3: Other (downstream)

#### (7.5.1) Base year end

06/30/2020

#### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

#### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*Western Digital completed an assessment of Scope 3 emissions, and it was determined that this category is not relevant to the business. Western Digital does not have additional other downstream emissions.*

*[Fixed row]*

### (7.6) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

#### Reporting year

#### (7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

**(7.6.3) Methodological details**

*The Scope 1 emissions total includes gas, oil usage, CO2 for cleaning, and fugitive gas for facility operations. This value is not restated from previous disclosures.*  
[Fixed row]

**(7.7) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?**

**Reporting year**

**(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

873057.9

**(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e) (if applicable)**

683977.1

**(7.7.4) Methodological details**

*The Scope 2 location-based emissions total includes the use of purchased electricity for facility operations. The Scope 2 market-based emissions total includes the use of purchased electricity for facility operations. This value is not restated from previous disclosures. Note, location-based emission factors were referenced for locations where market-based factors were unavailable. CDP*  
[Fixed row]

**(7.8) Account for your organization’s gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.**

**Purchased goods and services**

**(7.8.1) Evaluation status**

Select from:  
☒ Relevant, calculated

### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

1322831

### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

- ☒ Hybrid method
- ☒ Spend-based method

### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Spend without primary CDP Data: Spend-based emissions (mass CO2e) Spend () x Full EEIO Emission factor (kg CO2e per ) Spend with primary CDP Data: Spend-based emissions (mass CO2e) WD-allocated Scope 1 & 2 Emissions Grouped by Spend Category (kg CO2e) (Spend () x Scope 3 Portion of EEIO Emission factor (kg CO2e per )) US EPA Supply Chain Emission Factors dataset is used for spend-based EEIO calculations.*

## Capital goods

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

- ☒ Relevant, calculated

### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

38689

### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

- ☒ Spend-based method

#### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

#### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Spend without primary CDP Data: Spend-based emissions (mass CO<sub>2</sub>e)  $\text{Spend ()} \times \text{Full EEIO Emission factor (kg CO}_2\text{e per )}$  Spend with primary CDP Data: Spend-based emissions (mass CO<sub>2</sub>e) WD-allocated Scope 1 & 2 Emissions Grouped by Spend Category (kg CO<sub>2</sub>e)  $\text{Spend ()} \times \text{Scope 3 Portion of EEIO Emission factor (kg CO}_2\text{e per )}$  US EPA Supply Chain Emission Factors dataset is used for spend-based EEIO calculations.*

#### Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

#### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

#### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)

180640

#### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Fuel-based method

#### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

#### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Spend without primary CDP Data: Spend-based emissions (mass CO<sub>2</sub>e)  $\text{Spend ()} \times \text{Full EEIO Emission factor (kg CO}_2\text{e per )}$  Spend with primary CDP Data: Spend-based emissions (mass CO<sub>2</sub>e) WD-allocated Scope 1 & 2 Emissions Grouped by Spend Category (kg CO<sub>2</sub>e)  $\text{Spend ()} \times \text{Scope 3 Portion of EEIO Emission factor (kg CO}_2\text{e per )}$  US EPA Supply Chain Emission Factors dataset is used for spend-based EEIO calculations.*



## Upstream transportation and distribution

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

193767

### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Distance-based method

### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Spend without primary CDP Data: Spend-based emissions (mass CO2e)  $\text{Spend ()} \times \text{Full EEIO Emission factor (kg CO2e per )}$  Spend with primary CDP Data: Spend-based emissions (mass CO2e)  $\text{WD-allocated Scope 1 \& 2 Emissions Grouped by Spend Category (kg CO2e)}$   $\text{(Spend ()} \times \text{Scope 3 Portion of EEIO Emission factor (kg CO2e per ))}$  US EPA Supply Chain Emission Factors dataset is used for spend-based EEIO calculations.*

## Waste generated in operations

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, calculated

### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

1585

### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Waste-type-specific method

### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Spend without primary CDP Data: Spend-based emissions (mass CO2e)  $\text{Spend} () \times \text{Full EEIO Emission factor (kg CO2e per )}$  Spend with primary CDP Data: Spend-based emissions (mass CO2e)  $\text{WD-allocated Scope 1 \& 2 Emissions Grouped by Spend Category (kg CO2e)}$   $\text{Spend} () \times \text{Scope 3 Portion of EEIO Emission factor (kg CO2e per )}$  US EPA Supply Chain Emission Factors dataset is used for spend-based EEIO calculations.*

## Business travel

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, calculated

### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

6807

### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Spend-based method

☒ Distance-based method

☒ Other, please specify :Air travel: based on distance (miles) between departure and arrival airport, haul type assigned based on distance, car rental: spend based; Rail travel: distance x emission factor;Hotel stays: Days of stays by country

### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Spend without primary CDP Data: Spend-based emissions (mass CO<sub>2</sub>e)  $\text{Spend ()} \times \text{Full EEIO Emission factor (kg CO}_2\text{e per )}$  Spend with primary CDP Data: Spend-based emissions (mass CO<sub>2</sub>e) WD-allocated Scope 1 & 2 Emissions Grouped by Spend Category (kg CO<sub>2</sub>e)  $\text{Spend ()} \times \text{Scope 3 Portion of EEIO Emission factor (kg CO}_2\text{e per )}$  US EPA Supply Chain Emission Factors dataset is used for spend-based EEIO calculations.*

## Employee commuting

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, calculated

### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)

50789

### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Other, please specify :Emissions were calculated based on assumptions regarding employee commuting patterns

### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Spend without primary CDP Data: Spend-based emissions (mass CO<sub>2</sub>e)  $\text{Spend ()} \times \text{Full EEIO Emission factor (kg CO}_2\text{e per )}$  Spend with primary CDP Data: Spend-based emissions (mass CO<sub>2</sub>e) WD-allocated Scope 1 & 2 Emissions Grouped by Spend Category (kg CO<sub>2</sub>e)  $\text{Spend ()} \times \text{Scope 3 Portion of EEIO Emission factor (kg CO}_2\text{e per )}$  US EPA Supply Chain Emission Factors dataset is used for spend-based EEIO calculations.*

## Upstream leased assets

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, calculated

### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

6113

### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Hybrid method

☒ Spend-based method

### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

100

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Spend without primary CDP Data: Spend-based emissions (mass CO2e) Spend ( ) x Full EEIO Emission factor (kg CO2e per ) Spend with primary CDP Data: Spend-based emissions (mass CO2e) WD-allocated Scope 1 & 2 Emissions Grouped by Spend Category (kg CO2e) (Spend ( ) x Scope 3 Portion of EEIO Emission factor (kg CO2e per )) US EPA Supply Chain Emission Factors dataset is used for spend-based EEIO calculations.*

## Downstream transportation and distribution

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

542

### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

- ☒ Distance-based method
- ☒ Other, please specify :Calculation also based on weight and mode of transportation

### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Spend without primary CDP Data: Spend-based emissions (mass CO2e)  $\text{Spend ()} \times \text{Full EEIO Emission factor (kg CO2e per )}$  Spend with primary CDP Data: Spend-based emissions (mass CO2e)  $\text{WD-allocated Scope 1 \& 2 Emissions Grouped by Spend Category (kg CO2e)} \text{ (Spend ()} \times \text{Scope 3 Portion of EEIO Emission factor (kg CO2e per ))}$  US EPA Supply Chain Emission Factors dataset is used for spend-based EEIO calculations.*

## Processing of sold products

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

- ☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

Not applicable

## Use of sold products

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

- ☒ Relevant, calculated

### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

**(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology***Select all that apply*☒ Other, please specify :Annual lifetime use-phase power consumption by product family**(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners**

40

**(7.8.5) Please explain**

*These emissions are from the use of goods and services sold by Western Digital in the reporting year. This includes the total expected lifetime emissions from all relevant products sold across the company's entire product portfolio. Use of Sold Products: Quantification Methodology: Use phase emissions (mass CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub>/N<sub>2</sub>O) Units sold in Reporting Period x Product lifespan (years) x Electricity use per year (kWh) x Emission factor (mass CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub>/N<sub>2</sub>O per kWh) Approximately forty percent of the overall emissions footprint was informed by actual use data from an analysis of returned devices and/or data from field reliability studies.*

**End of life treatment of sold products****(7.8.1) Evaluation status***Select from:*☒ Not relevant, calculated**(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)**

2851

**(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology***Select all that apply*☒ Waste-type-specific method**(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners**

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Emissions calculations are based on the total weight of good sold in the reporting year and an assumption on the proportion of goods by weight that are landfilled, recycled and incinerated. The emission factors derived from the EPA WARM tool (2022) were used to estimate the waste emissions. Methodology: Waste emissions (mass CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub>/N<sub>2</sub>O) = Material treatment (lbs.) x Emission factor (mass CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub>/N<sub>2</sub>O per material treatment)*

## Downstream leased assets

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Not applicable*

## Franchises

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Not applicable*

## Investments

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

## (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

1252866

## (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

- ☒ Hybrid method
- ☒ Investment-specific method

## (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

## (7.8.5) Please explain

*Methodology: Calculations based on either revenue by ownership share by emission factor or primary scope 1 and 2 data allocated by ownership share; US EPA Supply Chain Emission Factors dataset is used for spend-based environmentally extended input-output analysis (EEIO) calculations. Based on ownership share: Investment emissions (mass CO2/CH4/N2O) primary scope 1 and 2 emissions from relevant facilities x WD ownership share (%) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners: All data for this category was obtained from suppliers or value chain partners. The portion of this category calculated from the revenue ownership share is based on the proportion of the revenue total as reported by the joint venture. The remaining portion is calculated from scope 1 and 2 data.*

## Other (upstream)

## (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

- ☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

## (7.8.5) Please explain

Not applicable

## Other (downstream)



## (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

## (7.8.5) Please explain

Not applicable

[Fixed row]

## (7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 3	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place

[Fixed row]

## (7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.

### Row 1

#### (7.9.1.1) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☒ Annual process

#### (7.9.1.2) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☒ Underway but not complete for reporting year – previous statement of process attached

#### (7.9.1.3) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☒ Limited assurance

#### (7.9.1.4) Attach the statement

*Western Digital FY22 Sustainability Data Assurance Statement.pdf*

#### (7.9.1.5) Page/section reference

*Pages 1-3*

#### (7.9.1.6) Relevant standard

Select from:

☒ ISO14064-3

#### (7.9.1.7) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

**(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.**

## Row 1

### (7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

☒ Scope 2 location-based

### (7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☒ Annual process

### (7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☒ Underway but not complete for reporting year – previous statement of process attached

### (7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☒ Limited assurance

### (7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

*Western Digital FY22 Sustainability Data Assurance Statement.pdf*

### (7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

*Pages 1-3*

### (7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

☒ ISO14064-3

### (7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

## Row 2

### (7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

☒ Scope 2 market-based

### (7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☒ Annual process

### (7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☒ Underway but not complete for reporting year – previous statement of process attached

### (7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☒ Limited assurance

### (7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

*Western Digital FY22 Sustainability Data Assurance Statement.pdf*

### (7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

*Pages 1-3*

### (7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

☒ ISO14064-3

#### (7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

**(7.9.3) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 3 emissions and attach the relevant statements.**

#### Row 1

##### (7.9.3.1) Scope 3 category

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 3: Business travel

☒ Scope 3: Use of sold products

##### (7.9.3.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☒ Annual process

##### (7.9.3.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☒ Underway but not complete for reporting year – previous statement of process attached

##### (7.9.3.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☒ Limited assurance

### (7.9.3.5) Attach the statement

*Western Digital FY22 Sustainability Data Assurance Statement.pdf*

### (7.9.3.6) Page/section reference

*Pages 1-3, FY2022 limited assurance statement Note: Scope 3 Category 11 (Use of Sold Products) is new to Western Digital's limited assurance process for FY2023.*

### (7.9.3.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

☒ ISO14064-3

### (7.9.3.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

## (7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☒ Decreased

### (7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.

#### Change in renewable energy consumption

### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

189080.9

### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ Decreased

### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

7.4

### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*Western Digital's use of renewable energy through power purchase agreements and energy attribute certificates (EACs) increased during the reporting period (fiscal year 2023). This resulted in a Scope 2 market-based emissions decrease of 157,692 metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub>e. The total Scope 1 and 2 emissions in the previous reporting year (fiscal year 2022) totaled 889,979.9 metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e. Therefore, the renewable energy savings of 157,692 metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e divided by 889,979.9 metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e equals a 7.4% year over year decrease in emissions.*

## Other emissions reduction activities

### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)

22007.28

### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ Decreased

### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

2.5

### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*In the reporting period fiscal year 2023, Western Digital implemented multiple energy conservation projects and associated GHG emissions reduction totaled 22,007.28 metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e. This is calculated by multiplying reduced amount of energy with market-based emission factors. The total Scope 1 and 2 emissions in*

the previous reporting year (fiscal year 2022) totaled 889,979.9 metric tons CO2e. Therefore, the energy conservation project savings of 22,007.28 metric tons CO2e divided by 889,979.9 metric tons CO2e equals a 2.5% year over year decrease in emissions.

Divestment

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Acquisitions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0



#### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

### Mergers

#### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

#### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

#### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

#### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

### Change in output

#### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

#### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

#### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

### Change in methodology

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

### Change in boundary

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

**(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)**

0

**(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation**

N/A

**Change in physical operating conditions**

**(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions**

Select from:

☒ No change

**(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)**

0

**(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation**

N/A

**Unidentified**

**(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions**

Select from:

☒ No change

**(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)**

0

**(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation**

N/A

**Other**

**(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions**

Select from:

☒ No change

**(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)**

0

**(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation**

N/A

[Fixed row]

**(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?**

Select from:

☒ Market-based

**(7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?**

Select from:

☒ No

**(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(7.15.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used global warming potential (GWP).**

**Row 1**

**(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas**

Select from:

☒ CO2

**(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)**

36447.8

**(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference**

Select from:

☒ IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

**Row 2**

**(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas**

Select from:

☒ CH4

#### (7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

0

#### (7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

☒ IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

### Row 3

#### (7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☒ N2O

#### (7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

0

#### (7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

☒ IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

### Row 4

#### (7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☒ HFCs

#### (7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

102790.3

#### (7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

☒ IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

#### Row 5

#### (7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☒ PFCs

#### (7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub>e)

115.2

#### (7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

☒ IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

#### Row 6

#### (7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☒ SF<sub>6</sub>

#### (7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub>e)

629

#### (7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

☒ IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

[Add row]

## **(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.**

### **China**

#### **(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

50191.3

#### **(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)**

194715.9

#### **(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)**

172547.2

### **India**

#### **(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

884

#### **(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)**

22066.8

#### **(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)**

22066.8

### **Israel**



**(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0.9

**(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)**

7180

**(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)**

7180

**Japan**

**(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

1858.8

**(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)**

12052.3

**(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)**

12052.3

**Malaysia**

**(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

45635.5

**(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)**

211577.1

### **(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)**

193100.9

## **Philippines**

### **(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

1121.1

### **(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)**

54752.7

### **(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)**

0

## **Thailand**

### **(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

6264.3

### **(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)**

295619.3

### **(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)**

271651.5

## **United States of America**

### **(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

34026.4

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

75093.7

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

5378.2  
[Fixed row]

(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

- Select all that apply
- ☒ By business division
  - ☒ By activity

(7.17.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division.

	Business division	Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)
Row 1	Solid State Drive (SSD) manufacturing and development	2819
Row 2	Hard Disk Drive (HDD) manufacturing and development	137163.3

[Add row]

(7.17.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business activity.

	Activity	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	<i>Fugitive Emissions</i>	<i>107035.1</i>
Row 2	<i>Stationary Combustion</i>	<i>32947.2</i>

[Add row]

## (7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

☒ By business division

### (7.20.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division.

	Business division	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	<i>Solid State Drive (SSD)</i>	<i>258909.7</i>	<i>223898.3</i>
Row 2	<i>Hard Disk Drive (HDD)</i>	<i>614148.1</i>	<i>460078.6</i>

[Add row]

## (7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.

### Consolidated accounting group

#### (7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

139982.3

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

873057.8

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

683977.1

(7.22.4) Please explain

Reporting at a corporate-level

All other entities

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.4) Please explain

No other entities outside of the consolidated accounting group  
[Fixed row]

(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?

Select from:

☒ Not relevant as we do not have any subsidiaries

**(7.26) Allocate your emissions to your customers listed below according to the goods or services you have sold them in this reporting period.**

**Row 1**

**(7.26.1) Requesting member**

Select from:

**(7.26.2) Scope of emissions**

Select from:

☒ Scope 1

**(7.26.4) Allocation level**

Select from:

☒ Company wide

**(7.26.6) Allocation method**

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

**(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e**

11.2

**(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)**

5

## (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Production of data storage devices*

## (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

## (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

## Row 2

## (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

## (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 2: market-based

## (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

## (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

#### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e

54.91

#### (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

#### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Production of data storage devices*

#### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

### Row 3

#### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

#### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 3



### (7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

*Select all that apply*

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 15: Investments   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 8: Upstream leased assets                   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 2: Capital goods  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 1: Purchased goods and services             |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 6: Business travel  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 5: Waste generated in operations            |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 7: Employee commuting   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 11: Use of sold products  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution                         |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) |  |

### (7.26.4) Allocation level

*Select from:*

- ☒ Company wide

### (7.26.6) Allocation method

*Select from:*

- ☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e

591.8

### (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Production of data storage devices*

### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

## Row 4

### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 1

### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

773.9

## (7.26.10) Uncertainty ( $\pm\%$ )

5

## (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Production of data storage devices*

## (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

## (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

### Row 5

## (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

## (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 2: market-based

## (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

#### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

#### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e

3781.6

#### (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

#### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Production of data storage devices*

#### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

### Row 6

#### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

#### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 3

### (7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 15: Investments   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 8: Upstream leased assets                   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 2: Capital goods  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 1: Purchased goods and services             |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 6: Business travel  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 5: Waste generated in operations            |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 7: Employee commuting   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 11: Use of sold products  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution                         |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) |  |

### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e

40759.4

### (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

#### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

### Row 7

#### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

#### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 1

#### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

#### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

#### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

0

#### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*We have no record of products sold to this organization during the reporting period.*

#### Row 8

#### (7.26.1) Requesting member

*Select from:*

#### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

*Select from:*

☒ Scope 2: market-based

#### (7.26.4) Allocation level

*Select from:*

☒ Company wide

#### (7.26.6) Allocation method

*Select from:*

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

#### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

0

**(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made**

*We have no record of products sold to this organization during the reporting period.*

**Row 9**

**(7.26.1) Requesting member**

*Select from:*

**(7.26.2) Scope of emissions**

*Select from:*

☒ Scope 3

**(7.26.4) Allocation level**

*Select from:*

☒ Company wide

**(7.26.6) Allocation method**

*Select from:*

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

**(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e**

0

**(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made**

*We have no record of products sold to this organization during the reporting period.*



## Row 10

### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 1

### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e

280.1

### (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Production of data storage devices

### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

### Row 11

#### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

#### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 2: market-based

#### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

#### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

#### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

1368.4

#### (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Production of data storage devices*

### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

## Row 12

### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 3

### (7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

☒ Category 15: Investments

☒ Category 2: Capital goods

☒ Category 6: Business travel

☒ Category 8: Upstream leased assets

☒ Category 1: Purchased goods and services

☒ Category 5: Waste generated in operations

- ☒ Category 7: Employee commuting
- ☒ Category 11: Use of sold products
- ☒ Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution
- ☒ Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)
- ☒ Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products
- ☒ Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

#### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

- ☒ Company wide

#### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

- ☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

#### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e

14749.2

#### (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

#### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Production of data storage devices*

#### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

- ☒ No

#### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.

Row 13

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

0.66

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Production of data storage devices

### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

## Row 14

### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 2: market-based

### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

**(7.26.10) Uncertainty ( $\pm\%$ )**

5

**(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions***Production of data storage devices***(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?***Select from:*☒ No**(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made**

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

**Row 15****(7.26.1) Requesting member***Select from:***(7.26.2) Scope of emissions***Select from:*☒ Scope 3**(7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)***Select all that apply*

- ☒ Category 15: Investments
- ☒ Category 2: Capital goods
- ☒ Category 6: Business travel
- ☒ Category 7: Employee commuting
- ☒ Category 11: Use of sold products
- ☒ Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution
- ☒ Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)
- ☒ Category 8: Upstream leased assets
- ☒ Category 1: Purchased goods and services
- ☒ Category 5: Waste generated in operations
- ☒ Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products
- ☒ Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

#### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

- ☒ Company wide

#### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

- ☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

#### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e

34.62

#### (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

#### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Production of data storage devices*

#### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

- ☒ No



### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

### Row 16

#### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

#### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 1

#### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

#### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

#### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

0

### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*We have no record of products sold to this organization during the reporting period.*

## Row 17

### (7.26.1) Requesting member

*Select from:*

### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

*Select from:*

☒ Scope 2: market-based

### (7.26.4) Allocation level

*Select from:*

☒ Company wide

### (7.26.6) Allocation method

*Select from:*

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e

0

### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*We have no record of products sold to this organization during the reporting period.*

## Row 18

### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 3

### (7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 15: Investments   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 8: Upstream leased assets                   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 2: Capital goods  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 1: Purchased goods and services             |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 6: Business travel  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 5: Waste generated in operations            |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 7: Employee commuting   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 11: Use of sold products  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution                         |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) |  |

### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e

0

### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

We have no record of products sold to this organization during the reporting period.

Row 19

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

23.6

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Production of data storage devices

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

## Row 20

### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 2: market-based

### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

11.3

### (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Production of data storage devices*

### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

## Row 21

### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 3

### (7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

☒ Category 15: Investments

☒ Category 8: Upstream leased assets

- ☒ Category 2: Capital goods
- ☒ Category 6: Business travel
- ☒ Category 7: Employee commuting
- ☒ Category 11: Use of sold products
- ☒ Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution
- ☒ Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)
- ☒ Category 1: Purchased goods and services
- ☒ Category 5: Waste generated in operations
- ☒ Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products
- ☒ Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

#### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

- ☒ Company wide

#### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

- ☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

#### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e

1242.2

#### (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

#### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Production of data storage devices*

#### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

- ☒ No

### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

## Row 22

### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 1

### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

107.7

### (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5



### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Production of data storage devices*

### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

## Row 23

### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 2: market-based

### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

#### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

526.1

#### (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

#### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Production of data storage devices*

#### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

### Row 24

#### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

#### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 3

### (7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

*Select all that apply*

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 15: Investments   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 8: Upstream leased assets                   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 2: Capital goods  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 1: Purchased goods and services             |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 6: Business travel  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 5: Waste generated in operations            |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 7: Employee commuting   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 11: Use of sold products  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution                         |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) |  |

### (7.26.4) Allocation level

*Select from:*

- ☒ Company wide

### (7.26.6) Allocation method

*Select from:*

- ☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e

5670.5

### (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Production of data storage devices*

### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

## Row 25

### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 1

### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

423.6

### (7.26.10) Uncertainty ( $\pm\%$ )

5

### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Production of data storage devices*

### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

## Row 26

### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 2: market-based

### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

#### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

#### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

2069.6

#### (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

#### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Production of data storage devices*

#### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

#### Row 27

#### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

#### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 3

### (7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 15: Investments   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 8: Upstream leased assets                   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 2: Capital goods  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 1: Purchased goods and services             |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 6: Business travel  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 5: Waste generated in operations            |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 7: Employee commuting   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 11: Use of sold products  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution                         |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) |  |

### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e

22306.9

### (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

#### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

### Row 28

#### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

#### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 1

#### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

#### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased



### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

32.2

### (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Production of data storage devices*

### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

## Row 29

### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 2: market-based

### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

#### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

#### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

157.3

#### (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

#### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Production of data storage devices*

#### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

#### Row 30

#### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

## (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 3

## (7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 15: Investments   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 8: Upstream leased assets                   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 2: Capital goods  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 1: Purchased goods and services             |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 6: Business travel  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 5: Waste generated in operations            |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 7: Employee commuting   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 11: Use of sold products  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution                         |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) |  |

## (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

## (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

## (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e

1695.4

## (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

**(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions**

*Production of data storage devices*

**(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?**

*Select from:*

☒ No

**(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made**

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

**Row 31****(7.26.1) Requesting member**

*Select from:*

**(7.26.2) Scope of emissions**

*Select from:*

☒ Scope 1

**(7.26.4) Allocation level**

*Select from:*

☒ Company wide

**(7.26.6) Allocation method**

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

0

### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*We have no record of products sold to this organization during the reporting period.*

## Row 32

### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 2: market-based

### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*We have no record of products sold to this organization during the reporting period.*

## Row 33

### (7.26.1) Requesting member

*Select from:*

### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

*Select from:*

☒ Scope 3

### (7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

*Select all that apply*

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 15: Investments   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 8: Upstream leased assets                   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 2: Capital goods  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 1: Purchased goods and services             |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 6: Business travel  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 5: Waste generated in operations            |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 7: Employee commuting   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 11: Use of sold products  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution                         |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) |  |

### (7.26.4) Allocation level

*Select from:*

☒ Company wide

#### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

#### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

0

#### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*We have no record of products sold to this organization during the reporting period.*

### Row 34

#### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

#### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 1

#### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

#### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

#### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

0

#### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*We have no record of products sold to this organization during the reporting period.*

#### Row 35

#### (7.26.1) Requesting member

*Select from:*

#### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

*Select from:*

☒ Scope 2: market-based

#### (7.26.4) Allocation level

*Select from:*

☒ Company wide

#### (7.26.6) Allocation method

*Select from:*

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

#### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

0



### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*We have no record of products sold to this organization during the reporting period.*

### Row 36

#### (7.26.1) Requesting member

*Select from:*

#### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

*Select from:*

☒ Scope 3

#### (7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

*Select all that apply*

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 15: Investments   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 8: Upstream leased assets                   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 2: Capital goods  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 1: Purchased goods and services             |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 6: Business travel  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 5: Waste generated in operations            |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 7: Employee commuting   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 11: Use of sold products  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution                         |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) |  |

#### (7.26.4) Allocation level

*Select from:*

☒ Company wide

#### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

**(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e**

0

**(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made**

*We have no record of products sold to this organization during the reporting period.*

**Row 37**

**(7.26.1) Requesting member**

Select from:

**(7.26.2) Scope of emissions**

Select from:

☒ Scope 1

**(7.26.4) Allocation level**

Select from:

☒ Company wide

**(7.26.6) Allocation method**

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

**(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e**

**(7.26.10) Uncertainty ( $\pm\%$ )**

5

**(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions***Production of data storage devices***(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?***Select from:*☒ No**(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made**

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

**Row 38****(7.26.1) Requesting member***Select from:***(7.26.2) Scope of emissions***Select from:*☒ Scope 2: market-based**(7.26.4) Allocation level***Select from:*

☒ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

1587.8

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Production of data storage devices

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.

Row 39

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

## (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

- ☒ Scope 3

## (7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 15: Investments   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 8: Upstream leased assets                   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 2: Capital goods  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 1: Purchased goods and services             |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 6: Business travel  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 5: Waste generated in operations            |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 7: Employee commuting   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 11: Use of sold products  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution                         |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) |  |

## (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

- ☒ Company wide

## (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

- ☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

## (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e

17113.9

## (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Production of data storage devices*

### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

## Row 40

### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 1

### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

#### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

0

#### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*We have no record of products sold to this organization during the reporting period.*

### Row 41

#### (7.26.1) Requesting member

*Select from:*

#### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

*Select from:*

☒ Scope 2: market-based

#### (7.26.4) Allocation level

*Select from:*

☒ Company wide

#### (7.26.6) Allocation method

*Select from:*

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

#### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

0

### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*We have no record of products sold to this organization during the reporting period.*

#### Row 42

### (7.26.1) Requesting member

*Select from:*

### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

*Select from:*

☒ Scope 3

### (7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

*Select all that apply*

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 15: Investments   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 8: Upstream leased assets                   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 2: Capital goods  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 1: Purchased goods and services             |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 6: Business travel  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 5: Waste generated in operations            |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 7: Employee commuting   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 11: Use of sold products  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution                         |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) |  |

### (7.26.4) Allocation level

*Select from:*

☒ Company wide

### (7.26.6) Allocation method



Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

0

### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*We have no record of products sold to this organization during the reporting period.*

## Row 43

### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 1

### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

0

**(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made**

*We have no record of products sold to this organization during the reporting period.*

#### **Row 44**

**(7.26.1) Requesting member**

*Select from:*

**(7.26.2) Scope of emissions**

*Select from:*

☒ Scope 2: market-based

**(7.26.4) Allocation level**

*Select from:*

☒ Company wide

**(7.26.6) Allocation method**

*Select from:*

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

**(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e**

0

**(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made**

*We have no record of products sold to this organization during the reporting period.*

## Row 45

### (7.26.1) Requesting member

*Select from:*

### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

*Select from:*

☒ Scope 3

### (7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

*Select all that apply*

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 15: Investments   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 8: Upstream leased assets                   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 2: Capital goods  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 1: Purchased goods and services             |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 6: Business travel  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 5: Waste generated in operations            |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 7: Employee commuting   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 11: Use of sold products  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution                         |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) |  |

### (7.26.4) Allocation level

*Select from:*

☒ Company wide

### (7.26.6) Allocation method

*Select from:*

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

#### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

0

#### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*We have no record of products sold to this organization during the reporting period.*

#### Row 46

#### (7.26.1) Requesting member

*Select from:*

#### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

*Select from:*

☒ Scope 1

#### (7.26.4) Allocation level

*Select from:*

☒ Company wide

#### (7.26.6) Allocation method

*Select from:*

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

#### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

0.3

#### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

#### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

#### Row 47

#### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

#### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 2: market-based

#### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

#### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

## (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

1.3

## (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Production of data storage devices*

## (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

## (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

### Row 48

## (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

## (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 3

## (7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

☒ Category 15: Investments

☒ Category 8: Upstream leased assets

- ☒ Category 2: Capital goods
- ☒ Category 6: Business travel
- ☒ Category 7: Employee commuting
- ☒ Category 11: Use of sold products
- ☒ Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution
- ☒ Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)
- ☒ Category 1: Purchased goods and services
- ☒ Category 5: Waste generated in operations
- ☒ Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products
- ☒ Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

#### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

- ☒ Company wide

#### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

- ☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

#### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e

14

#### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Production of data storage devices*

#### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

- ☒ No

#### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.

Row 49

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

0

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

We have no record of products sold to this organization during the reporting period.

Row 50



### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 2: market-based

### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e

0

### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*We have no record of products sold to this organization during the reporting period.*

## Row 51

### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 3

### (7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 15: Investments   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 8: Upstream leased assets                   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 2: Capital goods  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 1: Purchased goods and services             |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 6: Business travel  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 5: Waste generated in operations            |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 7: Employee commuting   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 11: Use of sold products  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution                         |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) |  |

### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e

0

### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*We have no record of products sold to this organization during the reporting period.*

**Row 52**

### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 1

### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e

62.2

### (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Production of data storage devices*

### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

### Row 53

#### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

#### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 2: market-based

#### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

#### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

#### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

304

#### (7.26.10) Uncertainty ( $\pm\%$ )

5

## (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Production of data storage devices*

## (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

## (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

### Row 54

## (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

## (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 3

## (7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

☒ Category 15: Investments

☒ Category 2: Capital goods

☒ Category 6: Business travel

☒ Category 7: Employee commuting

☒ Category 11: Use of sold products

☒ Category 8: Upstream leased assets

☒ Category 1: Purchased goods and services

☒ Category 5: Waste generated in operations

☒ Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products

☒ Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

- ☒ Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution
- ☒ Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)

#### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

- ☒ Company wide

#### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

- ☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

#### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e

3276.5

#### (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

#### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Production of data storage devices*

#### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

- ☒ No

#### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.

Row 55

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

2.7

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Production of data storage devices

#### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

#### Row 56

#### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

#### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 2: market-based

#### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

#### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

#### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e



**(7.26.10) Uncertainty ( $\pm\%$ )**

5

**(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions***Production of data storage devices***(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?***Select from:*☒ No**(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made**

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

**Row 57****(7.26.1) Requesting member***Select from:***(7.26.2) Scope of emissions***Select from:*☒ Scope 3**(7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)***Select all that apply*

- ☒ Category 15: Investments
- ☒ Category 2: Capital goods
- ☒ Category 6: Business travel
- ☒ Category 7: Employee commuting
- ☒ Category 11: Use of sold products
- ☒ Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution
- ☒ Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)
- ☒ Category 8: Upstream leased assets
- ☒ Category 1: Purchased goods and services
- ☒ Category 5: Waste generated in operations
- ☒ Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products
- ☒ Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

#### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

- ☒ Company wide

#### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

- ☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

#### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e

142.9

#### (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

#### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Production of data storage devices*

#### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

- ☒ No

### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

### Row 58

#### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

#### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 1

#### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

#### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

#### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

282.1

#### (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Production of data storage devices*

### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

## Row 59

### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 2: market-based

### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

#### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

1378.2

#### (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

#### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Production of data storage devices*

#### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

### Row 60

#### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

#### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 3

### (7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

*Select all that apply*

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 15: Investments   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 8: Upstream leased assets                   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 2: Capital goods  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 1: Purchased goods and services             |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 6: Business travel  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 5: Waste generated in operations            |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 7: Employee commuting   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 11: Use of sold products  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution                         |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) |  |

### (7.26.4) Allocation level

*Select from:*

- ☒ Company wide

### (7.26.6) Allocation method

*Select from:*

- ☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e

14854.4

### (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Production of data storage devices*

### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

## Row 61

### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 1

### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

19.3

#### (7.26.10) Uncertainty ( $\pm\%$ )

5

#### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Production of data storage devices*

#### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

### Row 62

#### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

#### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 2: market-based

#### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide



#### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

#### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e

94.1

#### (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

#### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Production of data storage devices*

#### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

#### Row 63

#### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

#### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 3

### (7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 15: Investments   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 8: Upstream leased assets                   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 2: Capital goods  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 1: Purchased goods and services             |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 6: Business travel  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 5: Waste generated in operations            |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 7: Employee commuting   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 11: Use of sold products  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution                         |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) |  |

### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e

1014.5

### (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Western Digital have a diverse storage product portfolio, we utilized our data on Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions along with our production and sales/shipments data to calculate a factor for tons CO2 equivalent per exabyte of storage capacity sold. As we do not have separate emissions data for each type of storage device, we deemed this to be the best approach at this time.*

[Add row]

### (7.27) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?

#### Row 1

#### (7.27.1) Allocation challenges

Select from:

☒ Diversity of product lines makes accurately accounting for each product/product line cost ineffective

#### (7.27.2) Please explain what would help you overcome these challenges

*Hard Disk Drives (HDD) and Solid State Drives (SSD) are different devices that serve the same purpose. As Western Digital has integrated most of the administrative and much of the engineering function, it is difficult to separate the costs and contributions to one product line or the other.*

[Add row]

### (7.28) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

### (7.28.1) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

Select from:

☒ Yes

### (7.28.2) Describe how you plan to develop your capabilities

*We are working on improving our data collection granularity in our manufacturing processes to better be able to account for environmental impacts for each product type and product line, on a per-unit basis. Additionally, we are working with our partners in the value chain to improve data collection and accuracy for the upstream and downstream impacts of our products.*

[Fixed row]

### (7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?

Select from:

☒ More than 5% but less than or equal to 10%

### (7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	Select from:

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

### (7.30.1) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.

#### Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)

##### (7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ LHV (lower heating value)

##### (7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

##### (7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

160152.19

##### (7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

160152.19

## Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity

### (7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ LHV (lower heating value)

### (7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

485147.75

### (7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

1270241.8

### (7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

1755388.93

## Consumption of self-generated non-fuel renewable energy

### (7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ LHV (lower heating value)

### (7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

### (7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

0

## Total energy consumption

### (7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ LHV (lower heating value)

### (7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

485147.75

### (7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

1430393.37

### (7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

1915541.12

[Fixed row]

### (7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel.

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

**(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.**

### **Sustainable biomass**

#### **(7.30.7.1) Heating value**

*Select from:*

☒ LHV

#### **(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization**

0

#### **(7.30.7.8) Comment**

None

### **Other biomass**

#### **(7.30.7.1) Heating value**

*Select from:*



☒ LHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

None

**Other renewable fuels (e.g. renewable hydrogen)**

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ LHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

None

**Coal**

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ LHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

#### (7.30.7.8) Comment

None

### Oil

#### (7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ LHV

#### (7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

17334.96

#### (7.30.7.8) Comment

None

### Gas

#### (7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ LHV

#### (7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

142817.22

#### (7.30.7.8) Comment

None

### Other non-renewable fuels (e.g. non-renewable hydrogen)

### (7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ LHV

### (7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

### (7.30.7.8) Comment

None

## Total fuel

### (7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ LHV

### (7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

160152.19

### (7.30.7.8) Comment

None

[Fixed row]

**(7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.**

## Electricity

**(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)**

0

**Heat**

**(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)**

160152.19

**(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)**

160152.19

**(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)**

0

**Steam**

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

## Cooling

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

5

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

[Fixed row]

**(7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in 7.7.**

**Row 1**

**(7.30.14.1) Country/area**

*Select from:*

☒ China

**(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method**

*Select from:*

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

**(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier**

*Select from:*

☒ Electricity

**(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type**

*Select from:*

☒ Renewable energy mix, please specify :Solar, wind

**(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)**

36378

**(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used**

*Select from:*

☒ TIGR

**(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute**

Select from:

☒ China

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.30.14.10) Comment

*Vintages and commissioning years of energy generation facilities vary - Western Digital made multiple purchases of renewable energy of mixed renewable sources.*

### Row 2

#### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☒ Malaysia

#### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

#### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☒ Electricity

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable energy mix, please specify :Hydro, solar

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

**(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used**

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :mREC**(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute**

Select from:

☒ Malaysia**(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?**

Select from:

☒ No**(7.30.14.10) Comment***Vintages and commissioning years of energy generation facilities vary - Western Digital made multiple purchases of renewable energy of mixed renewable sources.***Row 3****(7.30.14.1) Country/area**

Select from:

☒ Philippines**(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method**

Select from:

☒ Financial (virtual) power purchase agreement (VPPA)**(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier**



Select from:

☒ Electricity

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable energy mix, please specify :Geothermal, hydro, solar

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

77399.9

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ US-REC

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Philippines

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.30.14.10) Comment

*Vintages and commissioning years of energy generation facilities vary - Western Digital made multiple purchases of renewable energy of mixed renewable sources.*

#### Row 4

#### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☒ Thailand

#### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

#### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☒ Electricity

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable energy mix, please specify :Hydro, solar

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

51512.7

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ I-REC

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Thailand

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.30.14.10) Comment

*Vintages and commissioning years of energy generation facilities vary - Western Digital made multiple purchases of renewable energy of mixed renewable sources.*

#### Row 5

#### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☒ United States of America

#### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Physical power purchase agreement (physical PPA) with a grid-connected generator

#### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☒ Electricity

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable energy mix, please specify :Solar, wind

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

288033.8

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ US-REC

**(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute**

Select from:

☒ United States of America

**(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?**

Select from:

☒ No

**(7.30.14.10) Comment**

*Vintages and commissioning years of energy generation facilities vary - Western Digital made multiple purchases of renewable energy of mixed renewable sources.  
[Add row]*

**(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.**

**China**

**(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

319520.79

**(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

7017.12

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

326537.91

## India

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

30953.55

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

852.98

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

31806.53

## Israel

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

16270.16

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

3.71

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

16273.87

**Japan**

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

26042.14

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

8139.19

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

34181.33

## Malaysia

### (7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

344335.04

### (7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

### (7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

### (7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

1760.58

### (7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

346095.62

## Philippines

### (7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

77399.89

### (7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

### (7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

**(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

5024.74

**(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)**

82424.63

**Thailand**

**(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

634831.24

**(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

2432.3

**(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)**

637263.54

**United States of America**

**(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

306036.12



**(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

134921.57

**(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)**

440957.69

[Fixed row]

**(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.**

**Row 1**

**(7.45.1) Intensity figure**

0.000067

**(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)**

823959.2

**(7.45.3) Metric denominator**

Select from:

☒ unit total revenue

#### (7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

12318000000

#### (7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

☒ Market-based

#### (7.45.6) % change from previous year

41.1

#### (7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

☒ Increased

#### (7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

☒ Change in revenue

#### (7.45.9) Please explain

Western Digital's combined Scope 1 and 2 (market-based) emissions decreased in fiscal year 2023. However, our revenue also decreased, causing an increase in the emissions intensity per unit revenue.

[Add row]

#### (7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.

##### Row 1

#### (7.52.1) Description

Select from:

☒ Energy usage

(7.52.2) Metric value

27.6

(7.52.3) Metric numerator

Renewable energy used in manufacturing sites

(7.52.4) Metric denominator (intensity metric only)

Total energy used in in manufacturing sites

(7.52.5) % change from previous year

359.4

(7.52.6) Direction of change

Select from:

☒ Increased

(7.52.7) Please explain

Metric is % renewable energy in our manufacturing and major R&D operations. Western Digital significantly increased our procurement of renewable energy in fiscal year 2023, as compared to fiscal year 2022, due to renewable electricity PPAs as well as increased procurement of unbundled EACs.

Row 4

(7.52.1) Description

Select from:

☒ Waste

#### (7.52.2) Metric value

61.2

#### (7.52.3) Metric numerator

*Waste diverted from landfill*

#### (7.52.4) Metric denominator (intensity metric only)

*Total waste*

#### (7.52.5) % change from previous year

6.99

#### (7.52.6) Direction of change

*Select from:*

☒ Decreased

#### (7.52.7) Please explain

*Metric is total waste diversion rate (waste reused, recycled, recovered / total waste generated)*

*[Add row]*

#### (7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?

*Select all that apply*

☒ Absolute target

☒ Intensity target

#### (7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.

##### Row 1

### (7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Abs 1

### (7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☒ No, but we are reporting another target that is science-based

### (7.53.1.5) Date target was set

06/07/2023

### (7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

### (7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☒ Carbon dioxide (CO2)

### (7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 1

☒ Scope 2

### (7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

☒ Market-based

**(7.53.1.11) End date of base year**

06/30/2023

**(7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

139982.3

**(7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

683977.1

**(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

0.000

**(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)**

823959.400

**(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1**

100

**(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2**

100

**(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes**

100

**(7.53.1.54) End date of target**

06/30/2032

**(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)**

100

**(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)**

0.000

**(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

139982.3

**(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

683977.1

**(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)**

823959.400

**(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target**

Select from:

☒ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

**(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year**

0.00

**(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year**

Select from:

☒ Underway

**(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions**

Covers company-wide Scope 1 and 2 emissions for manufacturing, R&D, and administrative operations. Does not cover sales-only offices.

### (7.53.1.83) Target objective

To reduce Western Digital's Scope 1 and 2 emissions in our operations. Western Digital has announced a public target to achieve net-zero Scope 1 and 2 emissions in our operations by 2032.

### (7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

To achieve this target and our 2032 goals, we will focus primarily on energy reductions through increased operational efficiencies, adoption of on-site solar and direct procurement of renewable energy. We consider available opportunities across all of our operations and locations and implement them where practical after careful evaluation.

### (7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☒ No

## Row 2

### (7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Abs 2

### (7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☒ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

### (7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

WEST-USA-001-OFF Certificate.pdf

### (7.53.1.4) Target ambition



Select from:

☒ 1.5°C aligned

#### (7.53.1.5) Date target was set

09/09/2021

#### (7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

#### (7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☒ Carbon dioxide (CO2)

#### (7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 1

☒ Scope 2

#### (7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

☒ Market-based

#### (7.53.1.11) End date of base year

06/30/2020

#### (7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

44643.4

**(7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

1000814.1

**(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

0.000

**(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)**

1045457.500

**(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1**

100

**(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2**

100

**(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes**

100.0

**(7.53.1.54) End date of target**

06/30/2030

**(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)**

42

**(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)**

606365.350

#### (7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

139982.3

#### (7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

683977.1

#### (7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

823959.400

#### (7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☒ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

#### (7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

50.44

#### (7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Underway

#### (7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

*Covers company-wide Scope 1 and 2 emissions for manufacturing, R&D, and administrative operations. Does not cover sales-only offices.*

#### (7.53.1.83) Target objective

*In 2021, Western Digital publicly announced its SBTi-approved target to reduce combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions by 42% from a base year of 2020, by 2030.*

#### (7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

*To achieve this target and our 2030 goals, we will focus primarily on energy reductions through increased operational efficiencies, adoption of on-site solar and direct procurement of renewable energy. We consider available opportunities across all of our operations and locations and implement them where practical after careful evaluation.*

#### (7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☒ No

[Add row]

#### (7.53.2) Provide details of your emissions intensity targets and progress made against those targets.

##### Row 1

#### (7.53.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Int 1

#### (7.53.2.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☒ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

#### (7.53.2.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

WEST-USA-001-OFF Certificate.pdf

#### (7.53.2.4) Target ambition

Select from:

☒ Well-below 2°C aligned

#### (7.53.2.5) Date target was set

09/09/2021

#### (7.53.2.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

#### (7.53.2.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☒ Carbon dioxide (CO2)

#### (7.53.2.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 3

#### (7.53.2.10) Scope 3 categories

Select all that apply

☒ Category 11: Use of sold products

#### (7.53.2.11) Intensity metric

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Metric tons CO2e per petabyte of capacity sold.

#### (7.53.2.12) End date of base year

06/30/2020

#### (7.53.2.25) Intensity figure in base year for Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

13.25

**(7.53.2.32) Intensity figure in base year for total Scope 3 (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)**

13.2500000000

**(7.53.2.33) Intensity figure in base year for all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)**

13.2500000000

**(7.53.2.46) % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products covered by this Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products intensity figure**

100

**(7.53.2.53) % of total base year emissions in Scope 3 (in all Scope 3 categories) covered by this total Scope 3 intensity figure**

100

**(7.53.2.54) % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes covered by this intensity figure**

100

**(7.53.2.55) End date of target**

12/31/2030

**(7.53.2.56) Targeted reduction from base year (%)**

50

**(7.53.2.57) Intensity figure at end date of target for all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)**

6.6250000000

**(7.53.2.59) % change anticipated in absolute Scope 3 emissions**

**(7.53.2.72) Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)**

10.24

**(7.53.2.79) Intensity figure in reporting year for total Scope 3 (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)**

10.2400000000

**(7.53.2.80) Intensity figure in reporting year for all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)**

10.2400000000

**(7.53.2.81) Land-related emissions covered by target**

Select from:

☒ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

**(7.53.2.82) % of target achieved relative to base year**

45.43

**(7.53.2.83) Target status in reporting year**

Select from:

☒ Underway

**(7.53.2.85) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions**

Limited to Scope 3: Category 11

**(7.53.2.86) Target objective**

Reduce use phase emissions by 50% by 2030

### (7.53.2.87) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

*Western Digital strives to design and manufacture more energy efficient products. We innovate to reduce the power consumption of our devices on a per-byte basis and to increase capacity of our storage devices in a given form factor—which results in better energy consumption per byte of storage.*

### (7.53.2.88) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☒ No

[Add row]

## (7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

☒ Targets to increase or maintain low-carbon energy consumption or production

☒ Other climate-related targets

### (7.54.1) Provide details of your targets to increase or maintain low-carbon energy consumption or production.

#### Row 1

#### (7.54.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Low 1

#### (7.54.1.2) Date target was set

06/07/2023

#### (7.54.1.3) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide



#### (7.54.1.4) Target type: energy carrier

Select from:

☒ Electricity

#### (7.54.1.5) Target type: activity

Select from:

☒ Consumption

#### (7.54.1.6) Target type: energy source

Select from:

☒ Renewable energy source(s) only

#### (7.54.1.7) End date of base year

06/30/2023

#### (7.54.1.8) Consumption or production of selected energy carrier in base year (MWh)

1755390.6

#### (7.54.1.9) % share of low-carbon or renewable energy in base year

27.64

#### (7.54.1.10) End date of target

06/30/2030

#### (7.54.1.11) % share of low-carbon or renewable energy at end date of target

100

#### (7.54.1.12) % share of low-carbon or renewable energy in reporting year

27.64

#### (7.54.1.13) % of target achieved relative to base year

0.00

#### (7.54.1.14) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ New

#### (7.54.1.16) Is this target part of an emissions target?

*This is a public target, announced in June 2023. This renewable electricity target will also contribute towards Western Digital's 2030 science-based target of 42% reduction in Scope 1 and 2 combined emissions (base year 2020, target year 2030), and our net zero Scope 1 and 2 target by 2032.*

#### (7.54.1.17) Is this target part of an overarching initiative?

Select all that apply

☒ No, it's not part of an overarching initiative

#### (7.54.1.19) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

*Includes all electricity consumption at Western Digital's major manufacturing, R&D, and administrative sites. Does not include sales-only offices.*

#### (7.54.1.20) Target objective

*To drive increased procurement of renewable electricity, and reduce our Scope 2 (market-based) emissions.*

#### (7.54.1.21) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

*Western Digital's renewable electricity strategy focuses on procurement of long-term renewable power purchase agreements (PPAs). We are also working with utilities and governments to promote the development of renewable energy in regions and countries where renewables are not readily available at commercial scale.*  
[Add row]

#### (7.54.2) Provide details of any other climate-related targets, including methane reduction targets.

## Row 1

### (7.54.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Oth 1

### (7.54.2.2) Date target was set

06/30/2022

### (7.54.2.3) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

### (7.54.2.4) Target type: absolute or intensity

Select from:

☒ Absolute

### (7.54.2.5) Target type: category & Metric (target numerator if reporting an intensity target)

#### Energy consumption or efficiency

☒ Other energy consumption or efficiency, please specify :GWh

### (7.54.2.7) End date of base year

06/30/2022

### (7.54.2.8) Figure or percentage in base year

1996.2

#### (7.54.2.9) End date of target

06/30/2023

#### (7.54.2.10) Figure or percentage at end of date of target

1956.3

#### (7.54.2.11) Figure or percentage in reporting year

1755.4

#### (7.54.2.12) % of target achieved relative to base year

603.5087719298

#### (7.54.2.13) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Achieved and maintained

#### (7.54.2.15) Is this target part of an emissions target?

*Yes, Western Digital is achieving energy consumption reduction through promoting energy efficiency globally. This energy efficiency improvement target is also leveraged to the GHG Scope1 and 2 (Abs 1) reduction target.*

#### (7.54.2.16) Is this target part of an overarching initiative?

Select all that apply

☒ No, it's not part of an overarching initiative

#### (7.54.2.18) Please explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

*Target covers major manufacturing and R&D facilities.*

#### (7.54.2.19) Target objective

Our major manufacturing and R&D facilities have a collective annual target of 2% reduction in electricity consumption each year.

**(7.54.2.21) List the actions which contributed most to achieving this target**

Energy efficiency and reduction initiatives throughout our major manufacturing and R&D sites, including HVAC improvements, lighting changes, and process efficiency improvements.  
[Add row]

**(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.**

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e (only for rows marked *)
Under investigation	1	`Numeric input
To be implemented	1	0
Implementation commenced	4	1394
Implemented	27	7351
Not to be implemented	0	`Numeric input

[Fixed row]

**(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.**

## Row 1

### (7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

#### Energy efficiency in buildings

☒ Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC)

### (7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

5919.9

### (7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

*Select all that apply*

☒ Scope 2 (location-based)

☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

### (7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

*Select from:*

☒ Voluntary

### (7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

1129015

### (7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

0

### (7.55.2.7) Payback period

*Select from:*

☒ 4-10 years

### (7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 11-15 years

### (7.55.2.9) Comment

*Optimization of HVAC/AHU Flow and upgrades to ancillary equipment related to HVAC.*

## Row 2

### (7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

**Energy efficiency in buildings**

☒ Lighting

### (7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

265.1

### (7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 2 (location-based)

☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

### (7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

### (7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

27141

#### (7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

0

#### (7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ 4-10 years

#### (7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 1-2 years

#### (7.55.2.9) Comment

*LED lighting in Buildings, Energy Efficient lighting controls and sensors.*

### Row 3

#### (7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

**Energy efficiency in buildings**

☒ Maintenance program

#### (7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

265.1

#### (7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 2 (location-based)

☒ Scope 2 (market-based)



#### (7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

#### (7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

11034

#### (7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

0

#### (7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ 1-3 years

#### (7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 1-2 years

#### (7.55.2.9) Comment

*Regular maintenance, cleaning, and repairs of existing equipment to restore efficiency.*

### Row 4

#### (7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

**Energy efficiency in production processes**

☒ Compressed air

#### (7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

4929.9

#### (7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

*Select all that apply*

☒ Scope 2 (location-based)

☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

#### (7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

*Select from:*

☒ Voluntary

#### (7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

618811

#### (7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

287811

#### (7.55.2.7) Payback period

*Select from:*

☒ 1-3 years

#### (7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

*Select from:*

☒ 6-10 years

#### (7.55.2.9) Comment

Optimizing operational parameters of compressed air systems for greater efficiency.

## Row 5

### (7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

#### Energy efficiency in production processes

☒ Machine/equipment replacement

### (7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

3713

### (7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 2 (location-based)

☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

### (7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

### (7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

476327

### (7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

492155

### (7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ 1-3 years

#### (7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 6-10 years

#### (7.55.2.9) Comment

*Replacement of outdated, EoL, or lower efficiency equipment for newer, more efficient equipment.*

### Row 6

#### (7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

**Energy efficiency in production processes**

☒ Process optimization

#### (7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

883.9

#### (7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 2 (location-based)

☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

#### (7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

#### (7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

**(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)**

0

**(7.55.2.7) Payback period**

Select from:

☒ 4-10 years**(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative**

Select from:

☒ 3-5 years**(7.55.2.9) Comment***Various efficiency in energy consumption optimization and engineering solutions in factory and process.**[Add row]***(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?****Row 1****(7.55.3.1) Method**

Select from:

☒ Dedicated budget for energy efficiency**(7.55.3.2) Comment***In the regular Capital Review Board (CRB) process, the potential improvements of energy efficiency are carefully evaluated, and projects are funded as appropriate to achieve energy efficiency and financial goals. Also, Western Digital's energy/resource management program office annually reviews global performance of efficiency investments to evaluate whether the funding levels are appropriate.*

## Row 2

### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Internal incentives/recognition programs

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*The Western Digital energy/resource management program office formally recognizes and rewards significant accomplishments in facilities energy and CO2 reduction.*

## Row 3

### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Marginal abatement cost curve

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*The MAC curve allows Western Digital to prioritize our investments and focus on the most economically viable strategies. For example, Western Digital ranks sustainability options and initiatives by cost: once the abatement costs for different options and initiatives are calculated, they are sorted in ascending order from lowest to highest. This ranking represents the cost-effectiveness of the emissions reduction opportunities, and Western Digital decides internally which options and initiatives to fund. Western Digital regularly updates and refines of the MAC curve based on new information and changing market conditions to ensure its relevance and usefulness in decision-making.*

## Row 4

### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Financial optimization calculations

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*The directive from our executive team is clear – we have the freedom to execute the programs we believe will be most impactful, however programs should demonstrate a clear return on investment.*

## Row 5

### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Partnering with governments on technology development

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*Western Digital continues to explore the possibility of collaborating with governments that would help provide access to funding, expertise, policy support, and regulatory frameworks that can facilitate the development, deployment, and scaling of emissions reduction technologies.*

## Row 6

### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Lower return on investment (ROI) specification

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*Western Digital recognizes that when we prioritize emissions reduction initiatives, we may encounter situations where the return on investment (ROI) for these projects is lower compared to other investment options within the company. However, Western Digital still continues to invest in such projects due to other non-financial benefits and long-term sustainability considerations.*

## Row 7

### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Compliance with regulatory requirements/standards

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*Western Digital continues to stay up-to-date with the specific climate and emissions regulations applicable to our industry and jurisdiction. Western Digital engages with industry associations, consulting legal experts, and actively monitoring regulatory developments to help us ensure we are compliant with the latest requirements and standards.*

## Row 8

### (7.55.3.1) Method

*Select from:*

☒ Internal price on carbon

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*Western Digital continues to explore the possibility to implement a pilot case study for internal carbon pricing.*

## Row 9

### (7.55.3.1) Method

*Select from:*

☒ Internal finance mechanisms

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*Western Digital has financial strategies and mechanisms that we put in place to address and manage emissions reduction efforts internally. These mechanisms aim to help us allocate funds, incentivize emissions reduction activities, and support the implementation of sustainability initiatives.*

## Row 11

### (7.55.3.1) Method

*Select from:*

☒ Employee engagement

### (7.55.3.2) Comment



Our ISO14001 management system assists Western Digital in establishing systems and programs that reduce energy, water usage and waste, as well as encouraging employees to become active participants in protecting our environment. Western Digital has also established a cross-functional Sustainability Working Group that drives specific sustainability initiatives throughout the company and includes representatives from Corporate Sustainability, our Business Units, Human Resources, Corporate Real Estate, Supply Chain Management, Quality, Sales and Marketing, Operations, and Ethics and Compliance.

## Row 12

### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Dedicated budget for low-carbon product R&D

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

Western Digital continues to drive innovation with our HelioSeal platform of high-capacity data center drives. With one of the lowest power profiles in the industry, our products help data center architects meet eco-environmental goals and requirements by delivering more capacity (storage density), more efficiency (watts/TB), more reliability and more value (/TB).

## Row 13

### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Dedicated budget for other emissions reduction activities

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

Western Digital continues to align our budget allocation with our emissions reduction goals, long-term sustainability strategy, and industry best practices. Regular monitoring and evaluation of the budget utilization helps ensure that allocated funds are effectively utilized and contribute to our emissions reduction efforts.  
[Add row]

## (7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization's goods or services?

Select from:

☒ No, I am not providing data

## (7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?

Select from:

☒ Yes

### (7.74.1) Provide details of your products and/or services that you classify as low-carbon products.

#### Row 1

##### (7.74.1.1) Level of aggregation

Select from:

☒ Product or service

##### (7.74.1.2) Taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low-carbon

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :We offer a version of our enterprise HDD product that is manufacturing using 100% renewable energy within our operations. We do not follow any standardized taxonomy.

##### (7.74.1.3) Type of product(s) or service(s)

###### Other

☒ Other, please specify :Enterprise hard drives

##### (7.74.1.4) Description of product(s) or service(s)

*The SanDisk Ultra Eco flash drive is made with over 70% recycled plastic, such as disposable water bottles. This saves over 50% CO2 emissions, fresh water, and energy during the manufacturing process.*

##### (7.74.1.5) Have you estimated the avoided emissions of this low-carbon product(s) or service(s)

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (7.74.1.6) Methodology used to calculate avoided emissions

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :We calculate the avoided emissions by zeroing out the Scope 2 emissions arising from our manufacturing processes, based on our third-party verified life cycle assessments

#### (7.74.1.7) Life cycle stage(s) covered for the low-carbon product(s) or services(s)

Select from:

☒ Cradle-to-gate

#### (7.74.1.8) Functional unit used

*Single Flash drive*

#### (7.74.1.9) Reference product/service or baseline scenario used

*Standard SSD, based on our third-party verified life cycle assessments*

#### (7.74.1.10) Life cycle stage(s) covered for the reference product/service or baseline scenario

Select from:

☒ Cradle-to-gate

#### (7.74.1.11) Estimated avoided emissions (metric tons CO2e per functional unit) compared to reference product/service or baseline scenario

0.028

#### (7.74.1.12) Explain your calculation of avoided emissions, including any assumptions

*We eliminate the Scope 2 emissions arising from our manufacturing, as calculated in our life cycle assessments.*

#### (7.74.1.13) Revenue generated from low-carbon product(s) or service(s) as % of total revenue in the reporting year

0.2

*[Add row]*

**(7.79) Has your organization canceled any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?**

*Select from:*

☒ No

## C9. Environmental performance - Water security

### (9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?

Select from:

☒ No

### (9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?

#### Water withdrawals – total volumes

##### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 76-99

##### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Monthly

##### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*metering systems*

##### (9.2.4) Please explain

*This is a fundamental metric in our water data and we monitor this data at each facility on a monthly basis. The scope of "facility" includes large scale manufacturing facilities (component and final assembly site) and major R&D facilities.*

#### Water withdrawals – volumes by source

##### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 76-99

### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Monthly

### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*metering systems*

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*facility metering systems*

## Water withdrawals quality

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ Not monitored

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*Not monitored due to metering limitation. Also, we do not have a method to reasonably estimate the number*

## Water discharges – total volumes

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 76-99

### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Monthly

### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*metering systems*

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*This is a fundamental metric in our water data and we monitor water discharges at each facility on a monthly basis via on-site metering systems. The scope of "facility" includes large scale manufacturing facilities (component and final assembly site) and major R&D facilities. Due to the limitations of some of our metering systems, not all facilities are able to report this number*

## Water discharges – volumes by destination

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 76-99

### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Monthly

### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*metering systems*

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*This is a fundamental metric in our water data and we monitor water discharges at each facility on a monthly basis via on-site metering systems. The scope of "facility" includes large scale manufacturing facilities (component and final assembly site) and major R&D facilities. Due to the limitations of some of our metering systems, a limited number of facilities can report this number.*

## Water discharges – volumes by treatment method

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ Not monitored

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*not monitored*

## Water discharge quality – by standard effluent parameters

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Continuously

### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*Facilities monitoring equipment*

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*Monitored following local regulations*

## Water discharge quality – emissions to water (nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and/or other priority substances)

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ Not monitored



#### (9.2.4) Please explain

*not monitored*

### Water discharge quality – temperature

#### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

*Select from:*

☒ Not monitored

#### (9.2.4) Please explain

*not monitored*

### Water consumption – total volume

#### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

*Select from:*

☒ 76-99

#### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

*Select from:*

☒ Yearly

#### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*calculation methodology*

#### (9.2.4) Please explain

*Calculated annually based on metered discharge and withdrawal data. Discharge and water volumes are monitored at each facility on a monthly basis via on-site metering systems. E.g. withdrawal minus discharge equals consumption.*

## Water recycled/reused

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 76-99

### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Monthly

### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*Facilities monitoring equipment*

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*Monitored at sites where this category of water usage is applicable.*

## The provision of fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services to all workers

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ Not monitored

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*not monitored*

*[Fixed row]*

**(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?**

## Total withdrawals

### (9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

14208.68

### (9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

### (9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in efficiency

### (9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ Lower

### (9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Investment in water-smart technology/process

### (9.2.2.6) Please explain

*Western Digital has set new goals pertaining to water withdrawals, and will be working to meet them. Western Digital also plans to initiate programs such reclaiming water with Reverse Osmosis (RO) technology, increasing capacity and efficiency of our water recycling plant, and optimization of water usage in our production lines. We are also looking into process engineering improvements to reduce water consumption in production line. Thus, we are expecting drop of water usage (withdrawal from city water) with this initiative.*

## Total discharges

#### (9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

8728.76

#### (9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

#### (9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

#### (9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ Lower

#### (9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in efficiency

#### (9.2.2.6) Please explain

*We expect that total water discharge to drop in line with our withdrawal reduction initiatives.*

### Total consumption

#### (9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

5479.91

#### (9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

### (9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in efficiency

### (9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ Lower

### (9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Investment in water-smart technology/process

### (9.2.2.6) Please explain

*The amount of water consumption is affected by total withdrawal and water discharged. High water withdrawals and high water discharged result in lower water consumption. We are expecting our water reduction from source and water recycling initiatives to impact net water consumption in a positive way.*

*[Fixed row]*

**(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.**

### (9.2.4.1) Withdrawals are from areas with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (9.2.4.2) Volume withdrawn from areas with water stress (megaliters)

3140

#### (9.2.4.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.2.4.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

#### (9.2.4.5) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ Lower

#### (9.2.4.6) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Investment in water-smart technology/process

#### (9.2.4.7) % of total withdrawals that are withdrawn from areas with water stress

22.10

#### (9.2.4.8) Identification tool

Select all that apply

☒ WRI Aqueduct

#### (9.2.4.9) Please explain

Western Digital used the WRI Aqueduct tool to assess whether water withdrawals are located in geographic areas of water stress. We applied the WRI Aqueduct tool by entering in the location of each facility where water withdrawal occurs and calculating the percentage of water withdrawn for FY23 from all locations with water stress. Water stressed areas are defined as the locations where baseline water stress equals or exceeds 40%, or baseline water depletion equals or exceeds 50%. For this reporting period, this includes water withdrawals at the following locations: Shanghai, China; Bangalore, India; Kfar-Saba, Omer, and Tefen Israel; Bang Pa In, Thailand; Prachinburi, Thailand; and Longmont, Colorado

[Fixed row]

(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.

Fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers, and lakes

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

3523.43

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ This is our first year of measurement

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

New data management platform enabled visibility into this data for Western Digital

Brackish surface water/Seawater

#### (9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

#### (9.2.7.5) Please explain

*Our operation does not use this type of water.*

### Groundwater – renewable

#### (9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

#### (9.2.7.5) Please explain

*Our operation does not use this type of water.*

### Groundwater – non-renewable

#### (9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

#### (9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

1034.7

#### (9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower



#### (9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

#### (9.2.7.5) Please explain

*Some of our facilities are using groundwater for operational purposes, and there was fluctuations of production activities. We are anticipating that this amount will increase due to continued increase of production*

### Produced/Entrained water

#### (9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

#### (9.2.7.5) Please explain

*Not relevant*

### Third party sources

#### (9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

#### (9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

12405.63

#### (9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

#### (9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

#### (9.2.7.5) Please explain

*Our operation does not use this type of water.*

*[Fixed row]*

### (9.2.8) Provide total water discharge data by destination.

#### Fresh surface water

##### (9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

##### (9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

2833.22

##### (9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

##### (9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

#### (9.2.8.5) Please explain

*Fresh surface water discharge includes the discharge to rivers, and the amount is measured with metering systems. The discharge is treated appropriately per local laws and other regulations. The amount of discharge increased from the previous reporting year due to increased production and the employee return to site. We anticipate that there will be further increases due to similar situations.*

#### Brackish surface water/seawater

#### (9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

#### (9.2.8.5) Please explain

*not relevant*

#### Groundwater

#### (9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

#### (9.2.8.5) Please explain

*not relevant*

#### Third-party destinations

#### (9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

#### (9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

4790.49

#### (9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

#### (9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

#### (9.2.8.5) Please explain

*Third-party destinations include the discharge to off-site treatment facilities. This amount does not include water to other organizations for further use. The amount is lower than the last reporting period, but may increase in the next few reporting years due to expansion of manufacturing operations.*

[Fixed row]

**(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?**

#### Direct operations

#### (9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have assessed this value chain stage and identified facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

#### (9.3.2) Total number of facilities identified

7

(9.3.3) % of facilities in direct operations that this represents

Select from:

☒ 26-50

(9.3.4) Please explain

Western Digital used the WRI Aqueduct tool to assess whether water withdrawals are located in geographic areas of water stress. We applied the WRI Aqueduct tool by entering in the location of each facility where water withdrawal occurs and calculating the percentage of water withdrawn for FY23 from all locations with water stress. Water stressed areas are defined as the locations where baseline water stress equals or exceeds 40%, or baseline water depletion equals or exceeds 50%. For this reporting period, this includes water withdrawals at the following locations: Shanghai, China; Bangalore, India; Kfar-Saba, Omer, and Tefen Israel; Bang Pa In, Thailand; Prachinburi, Thailand; and Longmont, Colorado.

Upstream value chain

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

☒ No, we have not assessed this value chain stage for facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities, and are not planning to do so in the next 2 years

(9.3.4) Please explain

not monitored at this time  
[Fixed row]

(9.3.1) For each facility referenced in 9.3, provide coordinates, water accounting data, and a comparison with the previous reporting year.

Row 1

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 1

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Bang Pa In*

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

*Select from:*

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

*Select all that apply*

☒ Dependencies

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

*Select from:*

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

**Thailand**

☒ Chao Phraya

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

*14.27932*

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

*100.642844*

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

581.14

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ Much lower

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

581.14

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

464.91

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ Lower

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater**

0

**(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations**

464.91

**(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)**

116.23

**(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ Lower

**(9.3.1.29) Please explain**



*Increased efficiency efforts*

## Row 2

### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

*Select from:*

☒ Facility 2

### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Bangalore*

### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

*Select from:*

☒ Direct operations

### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

*Select all that apply*

☒ Dependencies

### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

*Select from:*

☒ Yes, withdrawals only

### (9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

*Discharges not tracked*

### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

India

☒ Cauvery River

**(9.3.1.8) Latitude**

12.937211

**(9.3.1.9) Longitude**

77.691426

**(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

5.84

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ Higher

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

5.84

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

5.84

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

*Increased operational activity*

**Row 3**

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 3

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

**Thailand**

☒ Other, please specify :Bang Pakong

### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

14.08333

### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

101.66667

### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

1249.64

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ Higher

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

1249.64

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

339.77

#### (9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

#### (9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

#### (9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

339.77

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

909.87

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*Increased operational activity*

**Row 4**

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 4

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Penang - SSD

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Malaysia

☒ Other, please specify :Pinang River

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

5.284605

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

**(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress**

Select from:

☒ No

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

983.87

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ Lower

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0



**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

983.87

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

361.47

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ Higher

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater**

0

**(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations**

361.47

**(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)**

622.4

**(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ Lower

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*increased efficiency efforts*

#### Row 5

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

*Select from:*

☒ Facility 5

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Shanghai*

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

*Select from:*

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

*Select all that apply*

☒ Dependencies

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

*Select from:*

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

☒ Other, please specify :Lake Tail Hu

**(9.3.1.8) Latitude**

31.22

**(9.3.1.9) Longitude**

121.41583

**(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

726.8

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ Higher

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

726.8

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

440.27

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ Higher

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater**

0

**(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations**

440.27

**(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)**

286.53

**(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ Higher

**(9.3.1.29) Please explain**

*Increased operational activity*

**Row 6**

**(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number**

Select from:

☒ Facility 6

**(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)**

*Fremont*

**(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage**

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

**(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility**

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

**United States of America**

☒ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

37.512053

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

-121.940061

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

393.23

#### (9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

#### (9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

393.23

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

190.68

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ Lower

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

190.68

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

202.54

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*Increased operational activity*

### Row 7

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 7

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Fujisawa*

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations



#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Japan

☒ Other, please specify :Sakai River

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

35.339165

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

139.49014

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ No

#### (9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

77.37

#### (9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

77.37

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

40.1

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ Lower

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

40.1

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

37.26

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increased efficiency efforts

[Add row]

**(9.3.2) For the facilities in your direct operations referenced in 9.3.1, what proportion of water accounting data has been third party verified?**

**Water withdrawals – total volumes**

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☒ 76-100

### (9.3.2.2) Verification standard used

ISAE 3000

## Water withdrawals – volume by source

### (9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☒ Not verified

### (9.3.2.3) Please explain

*Western Digital verifies the total water withdrawal, consumption, discharge, and recycling figures company-wide, but does not currently verify volume by source or quality by standard water quality parameters.*

## Water withdrawals – quality by standard water quality parameters

### (9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☒ Not verified

### (9.3.2.3) Please explain

*Western Digital verifies the total water withdrawal, consumption, discharge, and recycling figures company-wide, but does not currently verify volume by source or quality by standard water quality parameters.*

## Water discharges – total volumes

### (9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☒ 76-100

### (9.3.2.2) Verification standard used

ISAE 3000

## Water discharges – volume by destination

### (9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☒ Not verified

### (9.3.2.3) Please explain

*Western Digital verifies the total water withdrawal, consumption, discharge, and recycling figures company-wide, but does not currently verify volume by source or quality by standard water quality parameters.*

## Water discharges – volume by final treatment level

### (9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☒ Not verified

### (9.3.2.3) Please explain

*Western Digital verifies the total water withdrawal, consumption, discharge, and recycling figures company-wide, but does not currently verify volume by source or quality by standard water quality parameters.*

## Water discharges – quality by standard water quality parameters

### (9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☒ Not verified

**(9.3.2.3) Please explain**

*Western Digital verifies the total water withdrawal, consumption, discharge, and recycling figures company-wide, but does not currently verify volume by source or quality by standard water quality parameters.*

**Water consumption – total volume**

**(9.3.2.1) % verified**

Select from:

☒ 76-100

**(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used**

ISAE 3000  
[Fixed row]

**(9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?**

Select from:

☒ This is confidential

**(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization’s total water withdrawal efficiency.**

	Revenue (currency)	Total water withdrawal efficiency	Anticipated forward trend
	12300000000	865668.03	Western Digital expects a trend of increased water efficiency in order to meet public water commitments.

[Fixed row]

**(9.12) Provide any available water intensity values for your organization's products or services.**

**Row 1**

**(9.12.1) Product name**

*HDD*

**(9.12.2) Water intensity value**

*30.22*

**(9.12.3) Numerator: Water aspect**

*Select from:*

☒ Water withdrawn

**(9.12.4) Denominator**

*Petabyte of memory storage capacity*

**(9.12.5) Comment**

*cubic meters water withdrawal / petabytes Western Digital's hard disk drive (HDD) operations rely heavily on an internal supply chain, therefore our water intensity in HDD manufacturing is different than for our solid state drive (SSD) operations.*

**Row 2**

**(9.12.1) Product name**

*SSD*

**(9.12.2) Water intensity value**

(9.12.3) Numerator: Water aspect

Select from:  
☒ Water withdrawn

(9.12.4) Denominator

Petabyte of memory storage capacity

(9.12.5) Comment

cubic meters water withdrawal / petabytes Western Digital's SSD operations rely heavily on external supply chain partners, therefore our water intensity in SSD manufacturing is different than for our HDD operations.  
[Add row]

(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

	Products contain hazardous substances
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(9.13.1) What percentage of your company's revenue is associated with products containing substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

Row 1



### (9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

☒ Candidate List of Substances of Very High Concern for Authorisation above 0.1% by weight (EU Regulation)

### (9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

☒ Less than 10%

### (9.13.1.3) Please explain

*Our products (including SSD, Cards, USB drives, embedded drives and HDD) contains lead and lead compounds in following applications: High temperature solder alloys Electrical and electronic components containing lead in a glass or ceramic other than dielectric ceramic in capacitors, e.g., piezo electronic devices, or in a glass or ceramic matrix compound These applications are exempted for use in some of the regulations and some have reporting requirements.*

## Row 2

### (9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

☒ Candidate List of Substances of Very High Concern (UK Regulation)

### (9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

☒ Less than 10%

### (9.13.1.3) Please explain

*Our products (including SSD, Cards, USB drives, embedded drives and HDD) contains lead and lead compounds in following applications: High temperature solder alloys Electrical and electronic components containing lead in a glass or ceramic other than dielectric ceramic in capacitors, e.g., piezo electronic devices, or in a glass or ceramic matrix compound These applications are exempted for use in some of the regulations and some have reporting requirements.*

## Row 3

### (9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

☒ Guidelines for Controlling the Use of Key Chemical Substances in Consumer Products (China Regulation)

### (9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

☒ Less than 10%

### (9.13.1.3) Please explain

Our products (including SSD, Cards, USB drives, embedded drives and HDD) contains lead and lead compounds in following applications: High temperature solder alloys Electrical and electronic components containing lead in a glass or ceramic other than dielectric ceramic in capacitors, e.g., piezo electronic devices, or in a glass or ceramic matrix compound These applications are exempted for use in some of the regulations and some have reporting requirements.

[Add row]

### (9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?

	Products and/or services classified as low water impact	Primary reason for not classifying any of your current products and/or services as low water impact	Please explain
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to address this within the next two years	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Important but not an immediate business priority	Not an immediate priority

[Fixed row]

### (9.15) Do you have any water-related targets?

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.15.1) Indicate whether you have targets relating to water pollution, water withdrawals, WASH, or other water-related categories.**

	Target set in this category	Please explain
Water pollution	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	<i>No, and we do not plan to within the next two years.</i>
Water withdrawals	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<i>Rich text input [must be under 1000 characters]</i>
Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH) services	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	<i>No, and we do not plan to within the next two years.</i>
Other	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	<i>No, and we do not plan to within the next two years.</i>

[Fixed row]

**(9.15.2) Provide details of your water-related targets and the progress made.**

**Row 1**

**(9.15.2.1) Target reference number**

*Select from:*

☒ Target 1

**(9.15.2.2) Target coverage**

*Select from:*

☒ Organization-wide (direct operations only)

### (9.15.2.3) Category of target & Quantitative metric

#### Water withdrawals

☒ Reduction in total water withdrawals

### (9.15.2.4) Date target was set

06/07/2023

### (9.15.2.5) End date of base year

06/30/2022

### (9.15.2.6) Base year figure

18035001.8

### (9.15.2.7) End date of target year

06/30/2030

### (9.15.2.8) Target year figure

14428001.44

### (9.15.2.9) Reporting year figure

14208676.5

### (9.15.2.10) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Underway

### (9.15.2.11) % of target achieved relative to base year

**(9.15.2.12) Global environmental treaties/initiatives/ frameworks aligned with or supported by this target**

Select all that apply  
☒ None, alignment not assessed

**(9.15.2.13) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions**

*Water withdrawals in our major manufacturing, R&D, and administrative sites. Excludes sales-only offices.*

**(9.15.2.14) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year**

*Western Digital is implementing water efficiency and reduction initiatives. We are also increasing the use of reused and recycled in our processes where possible.*

**(9.15.2.16) Further details of target**

*Fiscal year based target, as part of our sustainability goals announced publicly in June 2023. 20% reduction in total water withdrawals, base year fiscal year 2023, target year fiscal year 2030. Figures in cubic meters of water*  
[Add row]

## C10. Environmental performance - Plastics

### (10.1) Do you have plastics-related targets, and if so what type?

#### (10.1.1) Targets in place

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

#### (10.1.3) Please explain

*The impacts to Western Digital business and the impacts on environment and society related to plastics were not identified as highly material in our materiality assessment. As such, this is not an immediate strategic priority for the business, but an issue that Western Digital is monitoring/managing.*

[Fixed row]

### (10.2) Indicate whether your organization engages in the following activities.

#### Production/commercialization of plastic polymers (including plastic converters)

##### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ No

##### (10.2.2) Comment

*Plastics is not a material topic for the company based on our latest materiality assessment*

#### Production/commercialization of durable plastic goods and/or components (including mixed materials)

##### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ No

## (10.2.2) Comment

*Plastics is not a material topic for the company based on our latest materiality assessment*

## Usage of durable plastics goods and/or components (including mixed materials)

## (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ No

## (10.2.2) Comment

*Plastics is not a material topic for the company based on our latest materiality assessment*

## Production/commercialization of plastic packaging

## (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ No

## (10.2.2) Comment

*Plastics is not a material topic for the company based on our latest materiality assessment*

## Production/commercialization of goods/products packaged in plastics

## (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ No

### (10.2.2) Comment

*Plastics is not a material topic for the company based on our latest materiality assessment*

### Provision/commercialization of services that use plastic packaging (e.g., food services)

#### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ No

### (10.2.2) Comment

*Plastics is not a material topic for the company based on our latest materiality assessment*

### Provision of waste management and/or water management services

#### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ No

### (10.2.2) Comment

*Plastics is not a material topic for the company based on our latest materiality assessment*

### Provision of financial products and/or services for plastics-related activities

#### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ No

### (10.2.2) Comment



Plastics is not a material topic for the company based on our latest materiality assessment

Other activities not specified

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ No

(10.2.2) Comment

Plastics is not a material topic for the company based on our latest materiality assessment

[Fixed row]

## C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity

**(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?**

	Actions taken in the reporting period to progress your biodiversity-related commitments
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to undertake any biodiversity-related actions

[Fixed row]

**(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?**

	Does your organization use indicators to monitor biodiversity performance?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

**(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year?**

	Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity	Comment
Legally protected areas	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Biodiversity is not a material topic for the company based on our latest materiality assessment
UNESCO World Heritage sites	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Biodiversity is not a material topic for the company based on our latest materiality assessment
UNESCO Man and the Biosphere Reserves	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Biodiversity is not a material topic for the company based on our latest materiality assessment
Ramsar sites	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Biodiversity is not a material topic for the company based on our latest materiality assessment
Key Biodiversity Areas	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Biodiversity is not a material topic for the company based on our latest materiality assessment
Other areas important for biodiversity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Biodiversity is not a material topic for the company based on our latest materiality assessment

[Fixed row]

C13. Further information & sign off

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?

	Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification/assurance is currently in progress

[Fixed row]

(13.1.1) Which data points within your CDP response are verified and/or assured by a third party, and which standards were used?

Row 1

(13.1.1.1) Environmental issue for which data has been verified and/or assured

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change
- ☒ Water

(13.1.1.2) Disclosure module and data verified and/or assured

Environmental performance – Water security

- ☒ Water consumption– total volume
- ☒ Water discharges– total volumes

☒ Water withdrawals– total volumes

(13.1.1.3) Verification/assurance standard

General standards

☒ ISAE 3000

Climate change-related standards

☒ ISO 14064-3

(13.1.1.4) Further details of the third-party verification/assurance process

Verification is in progress.

(13.1.1.5) Attach verification/assurance evidence/report (optional)

western-digital-fy2022-sustainability-data-assurance-statement.pdf  
[Add row]

(13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.

	Additional information	Attachment (optional)
	Please review our FY23 Sustainability Report for additional information.	western-digital-FY2023-sustainability-report (1).pdf

[Fixed row]

**(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.**

**(13.3.1) Job title**

*Director, Corporate Sustainability Strategy*

**(13.3.2) Corresponding job category**

*Select from:*

☒ Other, please specify

*[Fixed row]*

**(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website.**

*Select from:*

☒ No

